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ABSTRACT
Electroactive materials based on conductive polymers are promising options for tissue engineering and regenerative medicine applications. In the present work, the conducting copolymers of poly (3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene) and poly (l-, lactic acid) (PEDOT-co-PDLLA) with PEDOT:PDLLA molar ratios of 1:50, 1:25, and 1:5 were synthesized and compared to the insulating macromonomer of EDOT-PDLLA as an experimental control. Bone marrow-derived human mesenchymal stem cells (hMSC-BM) were cultured on the copolymers and the macromonomer thin films inside a bioreactor that induced a capacitive electrical stimulation (CES) with an electric field of 100 mV/mm for 2 h per day for 21 days. Under CES, the copolymers exhibited good cell viability and promoted the differentiation from hMSC-BM to osteogenic lineages, revealed by higher mineralization mainly when the contents of conducting segments of PEDOT (i.e., copolymer with 1:25 and 1:5 PEDOT:PDLLA ratios) were increased. The results indicate that the intrinsic electrical conductivity of the substrates is an important key point for the effectiveness of the electric field generated by the CES, intending to promote the differentiation effect for bone cells.

Published under an exclusive license by the AVS.

I. INTRODUCTION

Human mesenchymal stem cells from bone marrow (hMSC-BM) present the unique potential to differentiate into multiple cell types.1 Among the strategies available to direct stem cell fate, capacitive electrical stimulation (CES) has been extensively investigated in recent studies,2,3 showing its capability to enhance the differentiation of hMSCs into the osteogenic lineage.4,5 Piezoelectricity plays an important role in bone tissue; however, it is frequently neglected in regenerative medicine applications.6 It has been shown that piezoelectricity can be essential for the formation and regeneration of new bone tissue.6,7 When the bone is mechanically stressed, electrical signals are produced which in turn promotes bone growth and remodeling. Therefore, it can be assumed that local electrical signals would affect osteogenesis.6 It is widely known that some tissues such as bone, skin, and nerve, among others, are capable of some level of regeneration.6,10 In some cases, implants are needed to repair damaged tissues.11,12 Often, bone damage is treated with permanent implants (e.g., internal fixators) to replace or assist the remaining tissue. Such implants have limitations, mainly longevity.12 In regenerative medicine, to improve tissue repair, cells derived from sources such as patient’s bone, nerve, or bone marrow are laboratory-isolated, grown, and placed onto a degradable material or scaffold with appropriate mechanical and physico-chemical properties.13 Thus, controlling stem cell fate with conductive thin films based on electroactive polymers and CES is a topic of particular interest.14

Electroactive polymers are becoming a platform to study the effect of conductivity on stem cell adhesion, proliferation, and differentiation processes.15 Although long-term CES was reported to induce a low degree of cytotoxicity, short-term CES studies showed
positive effects on stem cell differentiation. Conductivity, reversible oxidation, redox stability, biocompatibility, hydrophilic, three-dimensional geometry, and surface topography are desired properties of materials for regenerative medicine applications. All of those properties are linked to polymer stability in the biological environment. Furthermore, data reported in the literature indicate an increase in the use of biocompatible and electroactive polymers in different disciplines, including biosensors, controlled therapeutic drug delivery systems, bio-actuators, and tissue engineering.

Despite the desirable features mentioned above, it is well-known that most conductive polymers exhibit low degradability and considerable cytotoxicity, limiting their applications in biomedicine. Conductive polymers tend to be relatively stiff because of 3D conformational hindrance; consequently, films prepared via electro-polymerization rip easily. Besides, poor molecular interaction with cells is the main challenge to be faced for the use of conductive polymers such as biomaterials; even though their biocompatibility can be significantly improved by doping with specific anions or linking to other polymers, electroactive polymers still present low or no biodegradability. This represents a significant problem as the handling properties of the bio-medical products are of key importance to their successful translation from the laboratory to the clinics. In order to overcome this problem, a new copolymer of conducting polymer (poly (3, 4-ethylenedioxythiophene) (PEDOT), and poly (d, l-lactic acid) (PDLLA, a widely recognized biocompatible polymer) was developed. The novelty of the material PEDOT-PDLLA is the ability of overtaking the biodegradability problems associated with the cytotoxicity of other conductive polymers while maintaining the desired electroactive and biocompatible characteristics.

It was shown in the literature that capacitive electrical stimulation positively influenced hMSC-BM behavior and in vivo enhanced the bone regeneration process. In the present work, the adhesion, proliferation, and differentiation processes of hMSC-BM on PEDOT-PDLLA thin films with different molar ratios under CES were investigated. An in-house bioreactor was used to induce the capacitive electrical stimulus to the hMSC-BM seeded on PEDOT-PDLLA thin films, which were submerged in the culture medium. The electrodes in the bioreactor were not in direct contact with the material or the culture medium, which greatly reduced the formation of reactive oxygen species and abrupt pH variations at the interface of the conducting copolymer and the culture medium that can be harmful to cells. It was hypothesized that CES was able to directly affect the adhesion and proliferation of hMSC-BM seeded on PEDOT-PDLLA copolymer. Cell proliferation was investigated with the AlamarBlue assay, DNA PicoGreen assay, and Live/Dead viability assay, while osteogenic differentiation was assessed by Alizarin Red staining (ARS).

II. EXPERIMENT

A. Reagents

The chemicals [2,3-dihydrothiophene [3,4-b] [1,4] dioxin-2-yl] methanol (EDOT-OH)], Dulbecco’s buffer saline, fibronectin protein, and 3,6-dimethyl-1,4-dioxane-2,5-dione (lactide) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Organometallic catalyst tin (II)-2-ethylhexanoate was also obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. Toluene (99.5%), acetonitrile (99.5%), hexane (98.5%), chloroform (99.5%), and methanol (99.5%) were purchased from Synth-Brazil and were distilled before use. All solutions were prepared with de-ionized (DI) Milli-Q water (18.2 MΩ). All solutions were filtered with the Millipore Express PES Membrane (0.22 μm) before use.

B. Synthesis of the electroactive macromonomer EDOT-PDLLA and PEDOT-co-PDLLA

The synthesis method has been already reported in a previous work. Briefly, the first step of the synthesis was to obtain an electroactive macromonomer of EDOT-PDLLA. 3,6-Dimethyl-1,4-dioxane-2,5-dione (2.76 g, 20 mmol), hydroxy-methyl EDOT (100 mg, 0.6 mmol), and tin (II)-2-ethylhexanoate (0.016 ml, 0.05 mmol) were stirred at 110 °C with 7 ml of toluene for 24 h. The solvent was removed by distillation under reduced pressure (20 mbar, 60 °C). The obtained solid product was purified by recrystallization with a (1:4) hexane/methanol mixture, separated by decantation, and vacuum dried until constant mass. The yield obtained from this procedure was 98%. The EDOT-PDLLA was used as a chemical control because it is mainly formed by insulating PDLLA chains.

The second step of the synthesis consisted of obtaining the conducting and partially biodegradable PEDOT-co-PDLLA. For this step, 2.7 g of the EDOT-PDLLA macromonomer was dissolved in 17.5 ml dry acetonitrile and kept under magnetic stirring at 30 °C for 2 h. Subsequently, 3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene (EDOT) (0.06 g, 0.42 mmol; 0.12 g, 0.81 mmol; and 0.60 g, 4.20 mmol) and NH₄HSO₃ (0.18 g, 0.8 mmol; 0.36 g, 1.62 mmol; and 1.80 g, 8.0 mmol) were added to the reaction vessel to obtain the 1:50, 1:25, and 1:5 proportions of PEDOT-PDLLA, respectively, and kept under magnetic stirring at 30 °C for 24 h. After the reaction medium changed to dark blue, only the soluble fraction was placed in another glass vessel, the solvent was removed by distillation under reduced pressure (20 mbar at 60 °C), and the resultant solid was PEDOT-co-PDLLA. In this study, each copolymer was named PEDOT-co-PDLLA 1:5, 1:25, and 1:50. The copolymer structure and schematic are shown in Fig. 1.

C. Preparation of thin films of PEDOT-co-PDLLA and EDOT-PDLLA for cell culture

Round glass slides (13 mm diameter, 0.19–0.23 mm thick, Academy) were ultrasonically cleaned and degreased followed by air drying. To modify the cleaned surfaces, a solution at 20 mg/ml (w/w) in the chloroform of EDOT-PDLLA and PEDOT-co-PDLLA in the molar proportions PEDOT-PDLLA 1:50, 1:25, and 1:5 were deposited onto glass slides by spin coating using an Ossila spin coater, operating at 3000 rpm during 30 s, (24 ± 1 °C), and (20 ± 5 %) of relative humidity. All the glasses covered with polymers were then sterilized using ultraviolet (UV) light for 45 min each side. This sterilization method was chosen because it can ensure the maintenance of original material properties without severe impairment to your mechanic and conductive performance. UV light has been commonly applied for the sterilization of medical products because of its simple and uniform sterilization without heat generation. Previous work published by da Silva et al. was used.
has already shown the surface roughness and water contact angles for the same conductive copolymers applied to assays using embryonic stem cells and they were the same before and after sterilization process.

D. Cell culture

The hMSC-BMs were obtained commercially (PT-2501, Lonza Group Ltd., UK). Frozen vials of cells were thawed, cultured, and expanded to reach the desired confluence based on the instructions provided by the company. Cells of passage 4 were cultured in the growth medium (GM) consisting of Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium with 4500 mg/l glucose, L-glutamine, and sodium bicarbonate, without sodium pyruvate, supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (10 000 units/ml); all were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (UK). The cells were maintained at 37 °C and 5% CO₂ in a humidified incubator. 100 μl of cell suspension containing 2 × 10⁴ cells was seeded onto the modified surface glass slides. After 1 h of cell seeding, GM was added to each well carefully from the wall of the well plate to minimize the turbulence of the medium in the well. One day after initial cell seeding (termed day 1), half of the samples were refreshed with GM. The nonstimulated control group was incubated in a separate incubator with identical conditions but without electrical stimulation. The media were changed every 3 days.

E. In vitro electrical stimulation

An in-house-made 8-well polytetrafluoroethylene bioreactor was used to induce CES. In more details, the bioreactor consists of three embedded stainless-steel electrodes, i.e., two positive electrodes located on both sides of the bioreactor and one negative electrode located in the middle of the bioreactor that separates the four wells on each side of the bioreactor, creating an inverted symmetry well configuration (Fig. S1).⁷³ Computational simulation results revealed a homogeneous electrical field (EF) and no electrical current inside the culture well (see supplementary information⁷³ for the COMSOL modeling methods and results).

Before the use, the bioreactor was sterilized in a steam autoclave. On the first day, the cell-seeded glass slides were transferred to the bioreactor using sterilized tweezers. GM was added to respective wells of the experimental group and secured with the lid of standard cell culture plates (Sigma-Aldrich, UK). The bioreactor was connected to a calibrated Triple Output Programmable Direct Current Power Supply (Model 9130; B&K Precision Corporation, Yorba Linda, CA, USA). Two hours per day of electrical stimulation with a constant potential of 3.5 V was applied to the bioreactor, leading to a 28 mV/mm EF according to our modeling results. The experimental assembly was incubated under standard cell culture conditions during the electrical stimuli (ES). The media were changed every 2 days. All assays were performed immediately after the last exposure to the EF. The electrical field distributions on the glass slide surface with a PEDOT-co-PDLLA film inside the culture medium were calculated by modeling (Fig. S2a)⁷³ and are plotted in Fig. S2b.⁷³

Samples were harvested at nine time points, on the 1st, 3rd, 5th, 7th, 9th, 11th, and 14th day, for the AlamarBlue assay (n = 3), viability and morphology assessment using LIVE/DEAD cell viability assay (n = 2), and on the 21st day for cell lysate collection for calcium concentration using Alizarin Red staining quantification assay (n = 4) and DNA PicoGreen assay (n = 4).

III. EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

A. AlamarBlue assay

In order to assess the cellular metabolic activity, capacitive electrical stimulation groups over a glass slide, EDOT-PDLLA and PEDOT-co-PDLLA 1:50, 1:25, and 1:5, respectively, were assayed at 1st, 3rd, 5th, 7th, 9th, 11th, and 14th day using the AlamarBlue assay. Briefly, a 100 μl working solution of 10% AlamarBlue (Thermo Fisher Scientific, UK) in GM was added directly to the
sample wells and then incubated at 37°C for 60 min. Following incubation, three 200 μl aliquots were transferred from each sample into a clear 96-well plate. Fluorescence readings were taken using a FLUOSTar OPTIMA microplate reader (BMG Labtech) at 560 nm excitation and 590 nm emission. After the assay, the samples were incubated with fresh GM. The same samples were measured at each time point.

B. Live/dead cell viability assay

Cell viability and morphology on the glass slides covered with copolymers and macromonomer before and after CES were assessed by a fluorescence-based LIVE/DEAD cell viability assay (Thermo Fisher Scientific, UK). The assay was performed at 1st, 7th, and 14th days. A 4 mM calcein-AM in anhydrous dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and 2 mM ethidium homodimer-1 in DMSO/H₂O 1:4 (v/v) in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) were added to each sample and incubated for 30 min, washed again with fresh PBS, and visualized using fluorescence light microscopy (Nikon Eclipse 50i, equipped with LUCIA GF-DXM1200 version 4.82 imaging software).

C. DNA analysis by fluorometric detection

DNA detection was performed in a 96-multiflow plate for the samples and standards. A standard curve was generated using 5–125 ng of double-stranded calf thymus DNA, dissolved, and diluted in either PBE buffer (100 mM Na₂HPO₄, 5 mM EDTA, pH 7.5) or TN buffer (50 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl, pH 7.5) for cells samples detached by the vortex process. In a 96-well plate, duplicate standards and samples were analyzed according to the supplier procedure. Samples were read with a Molecular Devices Gemini II fluorescence plate reader at 360-nm excitation and 460-nm emission, with a 420-nm cut-off filter. Results were tabulated using MOLECULAR DEVICES SOFTMAX PRO 3.1 software.

D. Alizarin Red staining quantification assay

The level of bone mineralization and calcium deposition in the cell culture was determined by ARS. At 21st day, the control and experimental groups were washed twice with PBS and fixed with 10% formalin solution (Sigma-Aldrich, UK) for 30 min at room temperature. The fixed cells were washed three times with DI water. The samples in the well plate were stained 1 h with 2% w/v Alizarin Red staining solution (Sigma-Aldrich, UK) in DI water and maintained at pH 4.1–4.3. The staining solution was removed, and the samples were washed five times with DI water. For a quantitative analysis, the red-stained calcium deposits were dissolved at 37°C for 30 min with gentle shaking using 300 μl of 10% w/v cetylpyridinium chloride (Sigma-Aldrich, UK) in PBS. Subsequently, three 50 μl replicates of the dissolved solution were pipetted from each sample into a clear 96-well plate. Absorbance readings were taken using a Synergy II plate reader (Biotek Instruments Ltd.) at 550 nm.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

A. Live/dead assay

Cell viability is an important parameter for any in vitro cell assay. Culture conditions and experimental treatments can affect cell viability by directly or indirectly inducing cytotoxicity, apoptosis, and/or necrosis. A hallmark of viable cells is an intact plasma membrane and cellular metabolic activity. These two features form the basis of this live and dead cell assay. Live cells are identified on the basis of intracellular esterase activity that generates green fluorescence. Dead cells are identified by the lack of esterase activity and nonintact plasma membrane that allows red dye staining of the cell nucleus. Live/dead assays for 1st, 7th, and 14th days in the absence [Fig. 2(a)] and presence [Fig. 2(b)] of CES were performed. These results demonstrated that copolymers showed good cell viability and proliferation for all studied surfaces. Figure 2(a) shows an increasing spread of cells onto all surfaces with time, indicating cell proliferation throughout the studied period in the absence of CES. On the other side, EF caused a reduction in the cell coverage over all surfaces after seeding for 24 h, and the same was observed at 7 and 14 days [Fig. 2(b)]. Moreover, hMSC-BM under CES was disconnected with elongated cell morphology [Fig. 2(b), 14th day], indicating a reduced cell-cell interaction, which was in sharp contrast to what was observed in the absence of EF [Fig. 2(a)]. The results showed that the cellular distribution was decreased even though the mineralization of the extracellular matrix over the copolymers with higher PEDOT content had enhanced. To understand the relationship between cell differentiation and their proliferation behavior under CES, an analysis of cell metabolic behavior and DNA expressions levels are also very important.

B. Cell metabolic behavior

In order to evaluate the influence of EF on hMSC-BM metabolism, AlamarBlue reduction was measured in the absence [Fig. 3(a)] and presence [Fig. 3(b)] of the CES from the 1st to the 14th day. Figure 3 shows that the fluorescence intensity of AlamarBlue reduction increased steadily with time for both conditions. Figure 3(a) shows that at 1st day, the metabolic activity of hMSC in the absence of CES over the studied materials presented comparable behavior (i.e., there was no significant difference in fluorescence intensity between them at this time point). However, from the 3rd day, the stem cell metabolic activity over the copolymer 1:50 overtake all the others, whereas for EDOT-PDLLA and copolymers 1:25 and 1:5, the metabolic activity was comparable between them until 14th. On the other hand, when the cells’ metabolic behavior in the presence of CES is analyzed in detail [Fig. 3(b)], a higher metabolic activity over copolymers 1:25 and 1:5 from the 1st to the 5th day than for EDOT-PDLLA and copolymer 1:50 is observed. Moreover, from the 7th day, the cells over copolymers 1:25 and 1:50 had higher metabolic activity than 1:5. It is also observed that from the 7th day, there was a constant increase in the cell’s metabolism over the copolymer 1:50, which overtakes all the others only at the 14th day. For EDOT-PDLLA, it is observed that the cells’ metabolic activity over this material was lower in the whole analyzed range, which overtakes all the others.
only at the 11th day. EF effects are not neutralized after the exposition of 2 h per day, causing a decrease in cell metabolic activity if compared with the absence of CES. Furthermore, there are differences to the outcomes showed off for the spread cell over biomaterials as much in the absence as in the presence of the CES. The same is observed in DNA expression. In contrast, the ARS assay showed off over the copolymers with a higher conductive backbone structure (PEDOT-co-PDLLA 1:25 and 1:5) presented

**FIG. 2.** Live/dead cell assay over PEDOT-co-PDLLA 1:50, 1:25, 1:5, and EDOT-PDLLA, respectively, in the absence (a) and presence (b) of capacitive electrical stimulation at 28 mV/mm performed for 2 h per day, respectively. Live cells were stained with green and dead cells were stained with red. Scale bars = 100 μm.
higher calcium deposition levels, indicating that intrinsic conductivity of the copolymers plays a key role function in promoting the osteogenic differentiation of hMSC-BM, since in the insulating polymer (EDOT), the calcium levels were smaller. In this context, Khatib and co-workers observed that ES promoted enhanced intracellular calcium increase mediated by phospholipase C activation in human osteoblasts. The same was observed by Leppik, where ES has been shown to promote bone healing and regeneration in both animal experiments and clinical treatments. On the other hand, what da Silva et al. proposed is based on the surface charge density promoted electrostatic interactions between the scaffold of the conductive copolymer and the anchoring proteins of cells that trigger cell signaling that models cell spreading, migration, and differentiation. The orientation and adsorption rate of serum proteins in the substrate are also affected by their surface charge, interfering in the activation of anchoring protein. Although it is not clear yet, some explanations were proposed on how electrical stimulation could alter calcium ion concentration in the cell. Based on data reported in the literature, a discussion much more deeply was done in the section about the osteogenesis metabolic cell process.

C. DNA expression levels

The effect of cellular stress on hMSC-BM adhesion and proliferation on different surfaces submitted to the CES were assessed through the quantification of the DNA content with PicoGreen, as shown in Fig. 4. These results were obtained after 21 days of culture in the growth medium under the CES with a regime of a 28 mV/mm electric field for 2 h per day. For comparison, the samples without CES were also included in the study.

Interestingly, in the absence of the CES [Fig. 4(a)], the hMSC DNA content (correlating to total cell number) on PEDOT-co-PDLLA 1:25 was higher than on all the other substrates. The expressed values for DNA content were 46.00, 91.00, 69.00, and 63.00 ng ml$^{-1}$ for PEDOT-co-PDLLA 1:50, 1:25, and 1:5, and EDOT-PDLLA, respectively. In contrast, under CES [Fig. 4(b)], reduced DNA expression levels were observed in all samples, with values of the DNA content of 19.00, 18.00, 21.00, and 24.00 ng ml$^{-1}$ for the respective PEDOT-co-PDLLA 1:50, 1:25, and 1:5, and EDOT-PDLLA. Several cellular effects are understood to be mediated by the EF applied in the CES through an electro-coupling mechanism. The basis of invoking such an indirect effect emerges from the high resistance imparted by the plasma membrane, which prevents the penetration of electric stimuli, regardless of the conducting nature of the cytoplasm. One of the possible electro-coupling mechanisms involves asymmetric redistribution/diffusion of electrically charged cell-surface receptors in response to an EF applied, which further activates numerous

![AlamarBlue metabolic activity of hMSCs over PEDOT-co-PDLLA 1:50, 1:25, 1:5, and EDOT-PDLLA, respectively, in a growth medium in the absence (a) and presence (b) of capacitive electrical stimulation at 28 mV/mm performed for 2 h per day. The significance of the results was analyzed using two-way ANOVA. Data are expressed as the means ± standard deviation (SD) of measurements, n = 3 (*, %, %p < 0.05; **, %p < 0.01; and #, #p < 0.001).](image-url)

![DNA content measured at 21 days by the PicoGreen assay for hMSC-BM in the absence (a) and presence (b) of capacitive electrical stimulation at 28 mV/mm performed for 2 h per day in the growth medium over PEDOT-co-PDLLA 1:50, 1:25, 1:5, and EDOT-PDLLA, respectively. The significance of the results was analyzed using two-way ANOVA. Data are expressed as the means ± standard deviation (SD) of measurements, n = 4 (*, %, %p < 0.05; **, %p < 0.01; and #, #p < 0.001).](image-url)
downstream signaling cascades. Another possible mode is via the voltage-gated calcium channel activation (VGCC) by cell membrane depolarization, which leads to the most consistently occurring cellular response to electric stimuli, i.e., the elevation of intracellular calcium ion concentration. It is very interesting because the efficacy of substrate conductivity and capacitive electrical stimulation acting in synergy could direct hMSC-BM differentiation toward osteogenic lineage.

D. Osteogenesis cell differentiation

The growth of the new bone tissue on a biocompatible material in regenerative medicine requires various factors that need to be optimized and controlled. Utilizing the piezoelectric nature of bone, CES can be an additional tool to control osteogenesis. In order to evaluate the hMSC-BM differentiation toward osteogenic lineage under CES, Alizarin Red stained images were obtained to identify the calcified nodules [Figs. 5(a) and 5(b)]. In the absence of CES [Fig. 5(a)], lightly stained areas that were likely caused by the cellular metabolism of calcium and magnesium were observed; in contrast, under CES [Fig. 5(b)], significantly more calcified nodules were seen, indicating the initiation of mineralization of the extracellular matrix.

In order to better assess the osteogenic effect of CES, ARS was extracted by cetylpyridinium chloride and subsequently quantified [Figs. 5(c) and 5(d)]. Figure 5(c) shows that the copolymers (PEDOT-co-PDLLA) and the macromonomer (EDOT-PDLLA) without CES had comparable amounts of extracted dye. In contrast, PEDOT-co-PDLLA presented surface chemistry and charge density properties that make them potentially useful as scaffold materials in different fields of applications, especially for tissue engineering. With regard to the electroactive properties of copolymers, some studies indicated that electroactive surfaces may promote cell differentiation, and experimental evidence suggested one plausible mechanism that involves an induced electric field in the cell membrane causing alterations in the transmembrane potential. The potential difference across the cell membrane originates from the interaction between the intra- and extracellular ionic concentration, and it is regulated through ion channels, pumps, and transport proteins. When an external EF is applied, the induced electric field in the cell membrane can cause modification of its potential, which in turn can activate the activity of sodium, potassium, or calcium channels and alter the enzyme activity of phosphates containing the voltage-sensor domain. Therefore, the EF produced by CES may also induce the differentiation process of stem cells to other tissue types. The intrinsic conductivity of the copolymers, mainly those with higher conducting segments in their backbone structure (1:25 and 1:5), could potentialize the EF effect on ion channels and enzyme activity in the hMSC-BM, based on calcium deposition observed on ARS results. Our results supported the osteogenesis of hMSC-BM from CES, which can be caused by the induced EF that may trigger a variety of intracellular signaling events involving the charge redistribution and the ion flow, including signal propagation via Ca2+, gap junctions, or even protein-protein interactions, inducing calcium deposition in the extracellular matrix. These data demonstrated that the conductive copolymers’ thin films promoted the osteogenic differentiation of hMSC-BM through elevated ARS and further suggested the great potential of PEDOT-co-PDLLA for bone tissue engineering applications.

E. Osteogenesis metabolic cell process

It is widely known that electrical signals are sensed and converted into biochemical cues by multiple pathways within the cells, resulting in various biological responses. The activation of signal transduction pathways is considered as the possible mechanism by which the CES applied may express biological responses and exert control over cellular functions. A major cellular signal transduction biological pathway, which governs the transcription of specific mRNAs in response to external stimulation, such as ES, is the activation of the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) cascades. The MAPKs are proteins of serine/threonine kinases that control intracellular metabolism events in response to external stimulations. The sequential activation of protein kinases within these cascades (extracellular signal-regulated kinases: ERK1/2 and ERK5, Jun amino-terminal kinases: JNK, p38MAPK) mediates numerous important cellular biological responses, including proliferation, differentiation metabolism, cell cycle progression, and apoptosis relying on the time of ES and the type of cell. The ES inducing MAPK activation was documented in endothelial angiogenic response and in HL-60 (human promyelocytic leukemia cell line) differentiation. Mechanistically, cell motility and wound healing responses elicited by electrical current gradients take place through the dynamic mediation of PI (3)Kγ...
An accelerated and progressive enhancement in the phosphorylation of extracellular-signal-regulated kinase, p38 MAPK, Src, and Akt on Ser 473 site was distinctly observed in cells undergoing electrotaxis.\cite{55} It also was reported that the applied low-intensity 0.1 ms electrical current could induce a transient and low-level activation of the p38-p53 pathway, which is implicated to play significant roles in the annihilation of malignant tumors and in the

**FIG. 5.** Alizarin Red staining dosage for hMSC-BM over PEDOT-co-PDLLA 1:50, 1:25, 1:5, and EDOT-PDLLA, respectively, in the absence (a) and presence (b) of capacitive electrical stimulation. All stimuli were performed at 28 mW/mm during 2 h per 21 days. The significance of the results was analyzed using two-way ANOVA. Data are expressed as the means ± standard deviation (SD) of measurements, n = 6 (\% and \# p < 0.05, \$ p < 0.01, and \# p < 0.001). Optical microscopy image of ARS-stained hMSC-BM over PEDOT-co-PDLLA 1:50, PEDOT-co-PDLLA 1:25, PEDOT-co-PDLLA 1:5, and EDOT-PDLLA in the growth culture medium in the absence (c) and in the presence (d) of capacitive electrical stimulation, respectively. There were no significant mineral deposits on the confluent monolayer in the absence of capacitive electrical stimulation, whereas in the presence of it, small, densely stained nodules appeared from and coalesced into a continuous matrix. Scale bars = 100 μm.
downregulation of inflammatory cytokine responses and metabolism. The cellular orientation and movement of adult stromal cells in response to ES were observed to be linked to the activation of PI3K and ROCK signaling pathways. However, the orientation and movement of hMSC-BM over EF due to CES applied did not take place in the present study. A schematic of the (a) EF applied at 28 mV/mm on the bioreactor with a seeded cell, (b) enlargement of the selected area with attached hMSC-BM over PEDOT-co-PDLLA, and (c) intracellular metabolic activity behavior under CES, respectively, is presented in Fig. 6.

Although a possible trend to osteogenic lineage under CES, some studies demonstrate that the EF applied could induce an increased intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) produced by VGCC. This activation may lead to multiple regulatory responses, including the increased nitric oxide levels produced through the action of the two Ca\(^{2+}\)/calmodulin-dependent nitric oxide synthases (NOS), neuronal NOS, and endothelial NOS. Increased nitric oxide levels typically act in a physiological context through an increased synthesis of cyclic guanosine 3\(^,5\)'-monophosphate (cGMP) and the subsequent activation of the protein kinase G. Nitric oxide reacts with...
superoxided to form peroxynitrite, a potent nonradical oxidant, which can form hydroxyl and NO2 radicals. The rise in oxidative stress markers parallels the rise in nitric oxide, suggesting a peroxynitrite-mediated mechanism. Peroxynitrite could lead to DNA cell damage and enable their proliferation, producing a reduced spread over conductive copolymers. In order to better understand DNA content results, the cellular metabolic behavior in the absence and presence of the CES must be further analyzed.

The EF effects caused by CES on cell proliferation were widely reported and it included both inhibitory and stimulating effects, depending on the cell type and exposure conditions. Low-frequency EF could actuate on the morphogenesis of mammalian embryo, wound healing, or tumor. For in vitro cultures, proliferation was observed for various cell types (HL-60 leukemia cells, Rat-1 fibroblasts, and WI-38 diploid fibroblasts) when also exposed to low-frequency EF. Nevertheless, under identical exposure conditions, unexpected DNA strand breaks in later hours were noticed suggesting that the EF exposure caused a temporary mitogenic effect, followed by a loss of DNA integrity. In agreement with the mitogenic effect mentioned above, the cell coverage analysis showed a decreased spread over time under CES (Fig. S3), even though a positive effect on osteogenesis was observed. Furthermore, reductions in the number of cells were observed at the same EF applied (Fig. S4). Hence, from a pathogenic point of view, this study showed that short-term exposures induced differentiation, whereas prolonged exposures could interrupt the cell cycle by causing DNA damage. Thus, exposing cells to the EF for a shorter time is a viable way to enhance proliferation and differentiation without inducing undesired DNA damage. Therefore, how DNA damage occurs and how cell differentiation from hMSC-BM to bone cells takes place need to be further investigated. Reported data in the literature show that, in osteogenic stimulation, voltage-gated calcium channel stimulation leads to an increase in intracellular Ca2+, acting, in turn, to stimulate the two calcium/calmodulin-dependent nitric oxide synthases, and an increase in nitric oxide. An enhancement of the intracellular Ca2+ level can drive to osteogenic differentiation. Nevertheless, bone differentiation of hMSC-BM needs other biological responses to take place. In the case of cell proliferation, nitric oxide may act in pathophysiological responses to CES exposure, by acting as a precursor of peroxynitrite, producing both oxidative stress and free radical breakdown products. The biologicals signaling mentioned above seems to induce single-stranded DNA breaks, which could influence cell spread over studied materials at the EF applied.

V. CONCLUSIONS

Both, the macromonomer EDOT-PDLLA insulator and the conducting PEDOT-co-PDLLA copolymers, provided a biocompatible microenvironment for the viability and proliferation of hMSC-BM. Even though the adhesion and proliferation of hMSC-BM onto all surfaces in the absence of capacitive electrical stimulation were better, no extracellular bone mineralization process was observed. On the other hand, under capacitive electrical stimulation, the copolymers exhibited good biocompatibility and a great potential to induce the differentiation process from hMSC-BM to osteogenic lineage. The results of ARS demonstrated that the bone mineralization process of hMSC-BM was increased in copolymers with a higher amount of conducting segments (i.e., PEDOT-co-PDLLA 1:25 and 1:5), indicating that the intrinsic electrical conductivity of the substrates plays an important role in the effectiveness of the electric field generated by the capacitive electrical stimulation, intending to promote the differentiation effect for bone cells. This remarkable result of copolymers in promoting the effect of inducing osteogenesis under capacitive electrical stimulation is opposed to a reduction in cellular metabolism, assessed through the AlamarBlue reduction and DNA content by the PicoGreen assay, respectively. The data indicated that the electrical field applied can influence the activation of biological signal transduction responsible for cell proliferation and differentiation, which was enhanced by the intrinsic conductivity of the copolymers.
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