



# Potentials of bamboo-based agroforestry for sustainable development in Sub-Saharan Africa

DOI:

[DOI: 10.1007/s40003-017-0244-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40003-017-0244-z)

[Link to publication record in Manchester Research Explorer](#)

## Citation for published version (APA):

Partey, S., Sarfo, D., Frith, O., Kwaku, M., & Thevathasan, N. (2017). *Potentials of bamboo-based agroforestry for sustainable development in Sub-Saharan Africa: a review*. <https://doi.org/DOI: 10.1007/s40003-017-0244-z>

## Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on Manchester Research Explorer is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Proof version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version.

## General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Explorer are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

## Takedown policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please refer to the University of Manchester's Takedown Procedures [<http://man.ac.uk/04Y6Bo>] or contact [uml.scholarlycommunications@manchester.ac.uk](mailto:uml.scholarlycommunications@manchester.ac.uk) providing relevant details, so we can investigate your claim.



# Agricultural Research

## Potentials of bamboo-based agroforestry for sustainable development in Sub-Saharan Africa: a review --Manuscript Draft--

<b>Manuscript Number:</b>	AGRI-D-16-00177R1	
<b>Full Title:</b>	Potentials of bamboo-based agroforestry for sustainable development in Sub-Saharan Africa: a review	
<b>Article Type:</b>	Review	
<b>Corresponding Author:</b>	Samuel Tetteh Partey, PhD University of Manchester Manchester, UNITED KINGDOM	
<b>Corresponding Author Secondary Information:</b>		
<b>Corresponding Author's Institution:</b>	University of Manchester	
<b>Corresponding Author's Secondary Institution:</b>		
<b>First Author:</b>	Samuel Tetteh Partey, PhD	
<b>First Author Secondary Information:</b>		
<b>Order of Authors:</b>	Samuel Tetteh Partey, PhD	
	Daniel Akoto Sarfo, MSc	
	Oliver B Frith, MSc	
	Michael Y Kwaku, MSc	
	Naresh V Thevathasan, PhD	
<b>Order of Authors Secondary Information:</b>		
<b>Funding Information:</b>	The Federal Ministry of Education and Research, Germany	Not applicable
<b>Abstract:</b>	<p>There is widespread assertion among scientists, government and development experts that bamboo agroforestry could contribute to sustainable rural development in sub-Saharan Africa (SSA). However, there is limited available data to verify the suitability of the system in the region. In addition, the current state of knowledge and adoption of agroforestry in SSA offers very little guidance as to which type of agroforestry systems bamboo could be integrated. Here, we reviewed the potential socioeconomic and environmental benefits of bamboo agroforestry and accentuate implications on sustainable rural development in SSA. In addition, we analysed potential research areas that could be intensified, so that future developments and scaling-up of bamboo agroforestry can be rooted in robust scientific findings rather than the intuitions of governments and development actors.</p>	
<b>Response to Reviewers:</b>	Please see attachment	

International Network for Bamboo and Rattan (INBAR)  
Kumasi  
Ghana  
7<sup>th</sup> October 2016

Editor in Chief  
Agricultural Research

Dear Sir or Madam

**Response to review Comments on AGRI-D-16-00177: Bamboo agroforestry for sustainable development in sub-Saharan Africa: review of potentials and research needs**

We have considered the rather minor concerns of the two anonymous reviewers and revised our manuscript accordingly. The revised sections and additions have been highlighted in yellow in the main manuscript. In the below table, we also provide responses to the most applicable comments.

Reviewer	Comment	Response
1	The title may be revised to, "Potentials of bamboo-based agroforestry for sustainable development in sub-Saharan Africa: a review"	Agreed! Title of the paper duly revised
1	Section 2, Agroforestry-general overview and development in SSA is unwarranted as this has nothing to do with bamboo-agroforestry. It must be deleted.	Agreed! Section 2 removed
1	In the text there remain many grammatical mistakes, which must be taken care of while revising the manuscript. For example, line 25-there must be comma (,) after word vegetation; on the same page line 30, portion (the yellow type) must not be italic; same is true with word and when used between species at many places; in line 30, shift word and after word strictus	Revised as suggested. Revision highlighted in yellow.
1	At many places, two synonyms of same species are used using word or in between, keep one only. For example, page 7 line 28 and page 11, line 60 plant cited as Bambusa bambos or B. arundinacea; these are synonym, keep the 1st and delete the 2nd. It is true with many other species also.	Revised as suggested. Revision highlighted in yellow.
1	While mentioning many species together as is the case on page 7, need not to mention full generic name for time and again for each species of the same genus, rather club these species together. For example, all species of Dendrocalamus in this paragraph may be written as Dendrocalamus brandisii, D. latiflorus, D. membrenaceous, D. strictus and so on; similarly, Bambusa edulis, B. oldhanmii, B. textilis, and so on...	Revised as suggested. Revision highlighted in yellow.
1	Page 8, instead of writing long figure US\$ 133,650,000 it may be mentioned as US\$ 133.65 million	Revised as suggested. Revision highlighted in yellow.

1	Page 9, line 5, use sub-script in CO2 mentioning as CO <sub>2</sub>	Revised as suggested. Revision highlighted in yellow.
1	Other general formatting issues	These have been duly corrected
2	The manuscript is very general one in nature about the scope of bamboo agroforestry in sub Saharan Africa. It does not include anything about the productivity potential, economic returns to the farmers, rotation age, bamboo crop interactions. The paper simply mention about some bamboo species introduction in the region. The productivity potential and returns to the farmers needs to be mentioned.	Literature on bamboo agroforestry is limited. We have included section 3.5 that discusses the potential contributions of bamboo agroforestry to poverty reduction. This should address the weakness of the paper in addressing the potential economic returns to the farmer. In this same section, we highlighted areas that may also require investment from the farmer and also contributions to food security and nutrition in sub-Saharan Africa (SSA). Section 2 is also improved to include aspects of bamboo productivity and rotation cycle.

We hope the concerns of the reviewers are duly addressed.

Thank you.

Yours faithfully

**Signed**

Samuel T. Partey, PhD  
Corresponding author

[Click here to view linked References](#)

**Potentials of bamboo-based agroforestry for sustainable development in Sub-Saharan Africa: a  
review**

Samuel T. Partey<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Daniel A. Sarfo<sup>1</sup>, Oliver Frith<sup>1</sup>, Michael Kwaku<sup>1</sup> and Naresh V. Thevathasan<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>International Network for bamboo and Rattan, Fumesua, Kumasi, Ghana

<sup>2</sup>Faculty of Renewable Natural Resources, Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology,  
PMB, Kumasi, Ghana

<sup>3</sup>School of Environmental Sciences, University of Guelph, Guelph, ON, Canada, N1G 2W1

\* Corresponding author:

Email: [spartey@inbar.int](mailto:spartey@inbar.int); [spartey@gmail.com](mailto:spartey@gmail.com)

Tel: +233 24 084 3963

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

## Abstract

There is widespread assertion among scientists, government and development experts that bamboo agroforestry could contribute to sustainable rural development in sub-Saharan Africa (SSA). However, there is limited available data to verify the suitability of the system in the region. In addition, the current state of knowledge and adoption of agroforestry in SSA offers very little guidance as to which type of agroforestry systems bamboo could be integrated. Here, we reviewed the potential socioeconomic and environmental benefits of bamboo agroforestry and accentuate implications on sustainable rural development in SSA. In addition, we analysed potential research areas that could be intensified, so that future developments and scaling-up of bamboo agroforestry can be rooted in robust scientific findings rather than the intuitions of governments and development actors.

**Keywords:** agroforestry, deforestation, land use systems, ecosystem services, bamboo, sustainability, Africa

## 1. Introduction

Agriculture is the mainstay of most national economies of sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) and its development has significant implications on food security and poverty reduction as highlighted in the United Nation's Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). One focus of the SDGs is enhancing global agriculture to meet the food security needs of ever increasing population [13]. This is particularly critical in developing countries where food production is not keeping pace with increasing population [46, 50]. Although an economic booster, improvements in SSA's agriculture sector are increasingly hampered by land tenure issues, declining soil fertility, poor markets, inadequate funding and poor infrastructural development [25, 63]. Moreover, these challenges are expected to be further exacerbated by climate change which has emerged as one of the major threats to development in Africa and its agriculture sector [15, 38]. Furthermore, SSA's quest to meet the SDGs will equally be challenged in years to come as its growing human population will inevitably lead to catastrophic consequences particularly in relation to ecosystem degradation, declining agricultural productivity, and changing environment [68, 74]. In order to sustainably develop in the future, it is essential to find solutions to these problems, particularly with regard to ensuring food security and coping with the changing environment [8]. Recent advances in global agricultural research have been focusing on the questions of increasing the resilience (against drought, erosion, fertility loss, etc.) and productivity of agricultural systems, which are directly related to increasing the adaptive capacity of farmers. The concept of climate-smart agriculture (CSA) dwells on this development agenda and aims at fostering the development and implementation of agriculture innovations that (1) sustainably increases agricultural productivity to support equitable increases in incomes, food security and development; (2) adapts and builds resilience to climate change from the farm to national levels; and (3) develops opportunities to reduce greenhouse gas emissions from agriculture compared with past trends [18, 31]. Research shows that agroforestry (a landuse system that combines trees/shrubs and other perennials with crops and/or animals) can contribute substantially in this direction through its multiple benefits and ecosystem services. According to Verchot et al. [78] agroforestry provides a set of innovative practices that are designed to enhance productivity in a way that often contributes to climate change mitigation through enhanced carbon sequestration, and also strengthen the system's ability to cope with adverse impacts of changing climate conditions [11, 20, 34]. In addition, agroforestry options may provide a means for diversifying production systems and increasing the 'sustainagility' (allowing farmer's agility to continue) of smallholder farming systems [20].

In SSA, bamboo resources constitute one of the largest non-timber forests products identified to be a suitable complement to timber usages such as building and construction, pulpwood, flooring, panel products and furniture [48, 62]. In comparison with forest timber species, bamboo has a short gestation period of five years and has huge range of socio-economic and environmental benefits [37]. In many parts of SSA, bamboo is currently being promoted for forest plantation developments to

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

reduce the natural forests for timber and wood fuels [33, 52, 83]. However, scientists will agree that sole bamboo plantation developments may impact negatively on food security except they are arguably established on degraded lands. This makes the integration of bamboo into indigenous cropping systems (via agroforestry) agronomically interesting and necessary to meet both socioeconomic and environmental needs. While experiences from Asia and other countries demonstrate that the integration of bamboo within agricultural systems is a suitable approach for increased productivity of food crops and non-food biomass [55, 56], there is limited available data to verify the suitability of the technology in SSA (and Africa in general) and elucidate the ecological principles by which the system works. In addition, the current state of knowledge on bamboo agroforestry offers very little guidance as to how investments into the land use system may contribute to sustainable rural development in SSA. Here, we reviewed the potential socioeconomic and environmental benefits of bamboo agroforestry and accentuate implications on sustainable development in SSA. In addition, we analysed potential research areas that could be intensified, so that future developments and scaling-up of bamboo agroforestry can be rooted in robust scientific findings rather than the intuitions of governments and development actors.

## 2. Bamboo resources and utilization in SSA

Bamboos are fast-growing woody grasses belonging to the grass family Gramineae and sub-family Bambusoideae [22]. Bamboos grow mostly in the tropics and subtropics in mixed forests or as pure stands, and are cultivated in plantations, on homesteads and on farms [37] with a total area of covering 31.5 million hectares globally, thus accounting for about 0.8 percent of the world's total forest area in 2010 [21]. There are over 1,500 bamboo species recorded worldwide, ranging in height from a few inches (cm) to over 100 ft (30 m), with stem (culm) diameters of 1/8 inch (3 mm) to over 10 inches (25 cm). In SSA, over 3 million hectares of bamboo forest was reported for seven countries (Ethiopia, Kenya, Ghana, Nigeria, Uganda, the United Republic of Tanzania and Zimbabwe) [45]. In Ethiopia, Nigeria and Ghana, bamboo covers about 6.5%, 14% and 5% their total forest vegetation respectively [45, 59]. Common bamboo species in SSA include: *Arundinaria alpine*, *Bambusa multiplex*, *B. vulgaris*, *B. bambos*, *B. pervariabilis*, *B. vulgaris var vitata* (the yellow type), *Oxytenanthera abyssinica*, *Dendrocalamus strictus* and *Oxytenanthera braunii*. Although most bamboo resources grow naturally, greater attention has been paid in recent years to the establishment of planted bamboo. In most of SSA, planted bamboo is generally established to provide raw material and to avoid depletion of naturally regenerated stands. Some of the planted bamboos are introduced species from Asia. In Ghana about eighteen foreign bamboo species were introduced from Asia by the Forestry Commission. These include: *Gigantochloa albociliata*, *Bambusa edulis*, *Dendrocalamus brandisii*, *B. oldhamii*, *D. asper*, *Guadua angustifolia*, *D. strictus*, *G. chacoensis*, *D. membrenaceus*, *Thyrosostachis siamensis*, *D. latiflorus*, *B. textilis*, *B. ventricosa*, and *B. burmanica* [22]. In Kenya, over 20 bamboo species including: *B. brandisii*, *B. vulgaris var. striata*, *B. arundinacea*, *B. tulda*, *D.*



1 *membranaceus*, *D. strictus*, *D. brandisii*, *D. asper*, *O. abyssinica*, *Phyllostachys heterocycla* var.  
2 *pubescens* and *Thyrsostachys siamensis* were introduced from Asia [61]. Several uses of bamboo are  
3 identified in SSA but in contrast with exploitation and utilization of many timber species it remains  
4 one of the region's valuable under-utilized resources [54]. Bamboo production is a major source of  
5 livelihood for several millions in SSA and remains an important part of small to medium enterprises  
6 that deals in crafts and charcoal production [16]. It is an important raw material for building  
7 construction [48, 62] and has been used as substrate for the African catfish, *Clarias gariepinus* [4] and  
8 the construction of bicycle frames [3] in Ghana. Bamboo leaves reportedly have antibiotic properties  
9 and is good medicine for curing fever [59]. Biomass productivity of bamboo varies based on  
10 ecologies, bamboo species, age and management. In most of SSA, the total dry matter production of a  
11 4-year bamboo under optimum condition ranges from 80 to 120 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> with a rotation cycle of  
12 3-4 years [59].

### 3. How could SSA benefit from bamboo agroforestry?

23 The definition of agroforestry by Leakey [44] demonstrates a key feature of agroforestry as a land use  
24 system that is designed to meet both environmental and socioeconomic needs for land users at all  
25 levels. However, the achievement of such socioeconomic and environmental benefits is normally  
26 dependent on the woody component which is the most integral of agroforestry systems. Below, we  
27 analyze certain socioeconomic and ecological needs in SSA which could be met through bamboo  
28 agroforestry.

#### 3.1 The deforestation menace and increased household energy needs

36 Roughly 2.8 billion people worldwide, including the world's poorest and most marginalized burn  
37 wood to satisfy their basic energy needs [7]. Historically, most rural households in SSA hugely  
38 depend on wood fuels to meet their household energy needs. Statistically, 81% (about 653 million  
39 people) of SSA's population rely on traditional biomass fuels for cooking and heating which is even  
40 projected to increase to 720 million in 2030 [79]. Besides the conversion of forest lands into  
41 farmlands and bush fires, available literature points to fuelwood consumption as one major  
42 anthropogenic cause of deforestation in SSA [19]. In countries such as Ghana, rated among the top  
43 one percent of West African countries with high consumption and demand for wood, the country is set  
44 to lose a gross revenue of US\$133.65 million equivalent to 2.6% of its 2008 agricultural sector Gross  
45 Domestic Product due to forest loss [14]. It is projected that increased degradation of forest resources  
46 due to fuelwood consumption and charcoal production could have catastrophic consequences on many  
47 national economies of SSA and forest fringe communities who derive their livelihoods from non-  
48 timber forest products [29, 35]. In addition, the limited electrification of many regions of SSA is  
49 expected to have serious demand implications on the region's wood fuel resource base, which is  
50 already threatened by the high deforestation rate [2]. The International Network for Bamboo and  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1 Rattan (INBAR) has demonstrated that using bamboo to make charcoal can take pressure off other  
2 forest resources and avoid deforestation. Bamboo's characteristics of fast growth and high  
3 renewability make it an efficient and renewable substitute resource for charcoal production. With a  
4 calorific value (6959 cal g<sup>-1</sup>) comparable to that of wood and almost half that of petroleum by weight,  
5 bamboo charcoal produces fewer pollutants than either and reportedly lasts longer [37]. In most  
6 countries in SSA, sustainable forest management has been made a priority, and government and  
7 scientists are now advocating the use of bamboo to reduce pressure on major commercial timber  
8 species sometimes sourced for household energy needs. With about 30% yield rate, SSA has a strong  
9 potential to produce about 9 million tons of bamboo charcoal on a sustainable basis which could  
10 potentially replace 64% of the region's wood consumption for charcoal production [59].  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17

### 18 **3.2 Contribution to climate change mitigation through carbon sequestration**

19 Agricultural fields remain one of the major contributors to greenhouse gas emissions. Statistical  
20 information provided by Smith et al. [71] showed that agriculture accounted for an estimated emission  
21 of 5.1 to 6.1 GtCO<sub>2</sub>-eq yr<sup>-1</sup> in 2005 (10-12% of total global anthropogenic emissions of greenhouse  
22 gases (GHGs). With agricultural lands covering about half of the earth's land surface, reducing CO<sub>2</sub>  
23 emissions could have huge implications on meeting targets set by the Intergovernmental Panel on  
24 Climate Change [78]. In comparison with monocultured crop lands, the incorporation of trees or  
25 shrubs into cropping systems (through agroforestry) is often recognized as a unique opportunity to  
26 mitigate climate change through carbon sequestration [51]. Agroforestry has been cited as a key land  
27 use system in landscape-scale mitigation schemes under the REDD+ (Reduction Emissions from  
28 Deforestation and forest Degradation) and AFOLU (Agriculture, Forestry and other land uses)  
29 concepts. Of all the land uses analyzed in the Land-Use, Land-Use Change and Forestry report of the  
30 IPCC, agroforestry offered the highest potential for carbon sequestration in non-Annex I countries  
31 [78]. Considering the woody perennial (tree, shrub, palm and bamboo) is the integral component of an  
32 agroforestry system, most of the system's ability to sequester carbon is dependent on the woody  
33 perennial. Like most trees used in agroforestry, the integration of bamboo into cropping systems is  
34 one mainstream opportunity to mitigate climate change. Bamboo grows relatively fast and its high  
35 annual regrowth and renewability after harvesting, may give bamboo agroforestry a high carbon  
36 storage potential especially when the harvested culms are transformed into durable products [73].  
37 Measurements of carbon sequestration in bamboo agroforestry are limited but estimates from bamboo  
38 plantations and forests are highly documented (particularly in Asia) and have been shown to vary  
39 depending on the type of plantation system, species composition, age of component species,  
40 geographic location, environmental factors, and management practices. In a mixed patch of three  
41 village bamboo species (*B. cacharensis*, *B. vulgaris* and *B. balcooa*) in the agroforestry system of  
42 northeast India, Nath and Das [55] found the carbon pool in the aboveground biomass ranged from  
43 21.69 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup> during 2003 to 76.55 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup> during 2006. Allocation of carbon was found to be more  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
in culm components (85 - 89 %) than in branch (8 - 10 %) and leaf (3 - 4 %). The rate of aboveground C sequestration was 18.93 - 23.55 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> with the mean of 21.36 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> [55, 56]. In Japan, Song et al. [73] found the total carbon stock of *Phyllostachys bambusoides* bamboo stands to be 165.1 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup>, whilst that of *Dendrocalamus strictus* bamboo stands was 75.4 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Reviews by Song et al. [73] and findings of Zhou and Jiang [85] found that the total carbon storage capacity in a typical bamboo ecosystem, including in the soil, was 106.36 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup>, of which the aboveground green vegetation stored 34.3 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup>, accounting for 32.3% of the total, and that the forest floor and soil (0 to 60 cm in depth) stored 72.2 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup>, accounting for 67.7% of the total. Further, a study conducted in Bangladesh found that the total carbon stock of a 5 year old *B. vulgaris* was quite high (15.53 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>) in comparison to some fast growing tree species like *Acacia auriculiformis* (recording 10.21 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> after 11 years) and *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* (recording 10.12 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> after 18 years) recommended for the clean development mechanism (CDM) project [72]. This high annual rate of carbon accumulation means that bamboo agroforestry could be one of the most efficient land use systems for carbon fixation. Additionally, the increased lifespan of durable bamboo products made through modern technology can ensure that the sequestered carbon will not return quickly to the atmosphere, thereby prolonging the carbon storage by bamboo [73]. Considering the large extent of degraded croplands and pasturelands in SSA and the potential to improve them using bamboo agroforestry, there is enormous potential to sequester additional carbon in such systems. In an agroforestry system with 50% of the plantation density or 30% of the plantation density, the system level C sequestration potentials are promising for SSA, along with annual and tuber crops.

### 3.3 Sustainable fodder supply for livestock

35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65  
In SSA, the livestock sector employs at least 100 million poor people including women [41, 58]. In the Sahelian zone, the sector accounts for about 35% of Gross Domestic Product (GDP) and supplies about 30% of the revenue in the agriculture sector [75]. In addition, livestock provide draught power, skins, transport and manure, and fulfill various socio-cultural functions such as payment of dowry, establishment and reinforcement of relationships and source of prestige within the pastoral society [49, 84]. As a sector employing more than 60% of rural households in West Africa, investment in the livestock sector is critical in the quest to alleviate rural poverty and enhance food security. Among other factors, access to sustainable feed supply is one of the industry's key constraints. As most livestock are kept on a free-range system, forage of fair nutritive value is normally scarce in the dry season. During pasture scarcity periods, livestock are stall-fed on cereal crop residues and low-quality rangeland hay. These low quality forage based diets impede livestock productivity due to a lower dry matter (DM) intake, and lower digestibility and nutritive value of ingested feed. In most cases, livestock severely lose body weight, and the small-scale subsistence farmers often sell their animals at lower prices to avoid further production losses. The problem is further exacerbated by the inability of most farmers to provide supplementary feed sources [53], minerals and energy-rich feeds that

1 optimizes microbial fermentation of low quality fibrous feeds in the rumen that in turn increases total  
2 dry matter intake and improves animal productivity [27, 40, 65]. In this regards, tree leaves have  
3 received increasing attention, due to many advantages such as supply of good quality green fodder  
4 during the dry periods, and high crude protein and minerals contents [28, 39]. Considering this need,  
5 evergreen bamboo, which produces year-round litter production and has relatively high nutritive  
6 characteristics, may provide a valuable supplementary source of feed. In India, bamboo leaves are  
7 already used as fodder for ruminants, particularly when there is scarcity of pasture. In Himachal  
8 Pradesh and Uttarakhand during winter months, bamboo (*Dendrocalamus hamiltonii*) and dwarf  
9 bamboo leaves are extensively used as green fodder. All species of bamboos used as fodder have  
10 shown positive effects on cattle, particularly young calves, and reportedly increased milk production  
11 [76]. Furthermore, leaves of 27 species of bamboo analysed for their nutrient content were found to be  
12 rich in crude protein (9-19%) and low in crude fibre (18-34%) [70].  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21

### 22 **3.4 Land restoration and soil conservation**

23 Land degradation is a major biophysical problem in SSA normally contributed by the removal of  
24 vegetation through unsustainable agricultural practices and illegal mining operations (Kusimi 2008;  
25 Kusimi 2015). Like most trees, bamboo has the propensity to improve soils as it grows on marginal  
26 soils with low fertility. In Brazil, bamboo species such as *Bambusa blumeana* and *Phyllostachys*  
27 *pubescens* are used in controlling soil erosion, preventing nutrient loss and improving soil structure  
28 [24]. The valuable features of bamboo for controlling soil erosion are its extensive fibrous root  
29 system, connected rhizome system, the leafy mulch it may produce on the soil surface, its  
30 comparatively dense foliage which protects against beating rains, and its habit of producing new  
31 culms from underground rhizomes which allows harvesting without disturbing the soil [10]. In China,  
32 a 5 year field experiment conducted showed an average surface soil runoff per month in bamboo  
33 forests of only 0.10 m<sup>3</sup>·ha<sup>-1</sup>, which is equivalent to only 77% of the rate for the Chinese fir forest and  
34 35% of the rate for the *Pinus massoniana* forest [80]; the resulting sediment delivery rate was 0.18 kg  
35 ha<sup>-1</sup>, amounting to only 42.8% of the rate for the Chinese fir forest and 23.6% for the *P. massoniana*  
36 forest [73]. In order to restore degraded agricultural lands in central India, Behari et al. [9] developed  
37 successful seven agroforestry models with three bamboos (*B. bamboos*, *B. nutans* and *D. strictus*).  
38 The inter crops were: Soybean (*Glycine max*), Moong (*Phaseolus aureus*), Wheat (*Triticum*  
39 *aestivum*), Urad (*Phaseolus mungo*), Pigeon pea (*Cajanus cajan*) and Mustard (*Brassica campestris*).  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52

### 53 **3.5 Poverty reduction and food security**

54 In SSA, bamboo-based agroforestry systems are now developing and available literature on their  
55 economic feasibilities are limited. However, with livelihoods in SSA mostly tied to agriculture and  
56 forestry, investments in bamboo-based agroforestry systems may contribute to rural poverty reduction  
57 and improved livelihoods in the region. Like most agroforestry systems, bamboo-based agroforestry  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 systems are expected to open new income streams by diversifying agroecosystems and offering  
2 multiple economic benefits from the sale of grains and vegetables from short-duration crops  
3 (integrated with bamboo), supply of fodder for livestock, and the sale of processed bamboo culms as  
4 wood fuels, charcoal, timber or industrial raw materials [51]. In most of SSA, it is common for rural  
5 households to diversify income streams as a pathway to reduce vulnerability to the failure of their  
6 primary income generating activities [6, 17]. In addition to vulnerability reduction, market  
7 opportunities also influence many households to indulge in other activities that sustainably generate  
8 income within shorter periods [47]. For this reason, charcoal production during the dry seasons is  
9 common among farmers as a secondary income generating activity. As regional and national  
10 environmental policies are likely to favour bamboo agroforestry in future as a major source of wood  
11 biomass for charcoal and firewood due to high rates of forest degradation, bamboo agroforestry will  
12 provide new vistas of income opportunities for charcoal producers. Income from charcoal sales will  
13 (1) provide safety-nets in times of shortfalls in incomes derived from arable farming, livestock or  
14 fisheries; (2) support current charcoal/wood fuel consumption; and (3) serve as a potential pathway  
15 out of poverty [1, 82]. With a projected rise in the consumption of wood fuels and charcoal by 2030,  
16 economic returns from bamboo-processed wood fuels and charcoals are also expected to rise. Bamboo  
17 is already contributing to household income security in SSA. In Ethiopia, a survey of 345 households  
18 showed bamboo income contributed up to 11% of the annual cash income of households [52] which  
19 shows investment in bamboo production could be a poverty reduction strategy for many SSA  
20 countries. While bamboo agroforestry could be economically prosperous, households will have to  
21 meet the financial and social capital requirements to engage in the production and marketing of  
22 potential saleable products [81].  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37

38 Besides contributions to household income, bamboo agroforestry could also contribute to the food  
39 security and nutritional needs of SSA. The shoots of bamboo have good profile of minerals and  
40 nutrients such as protein, fiber, sugar, amino acids, inorganic salts etc. Table 1 shows the nutrient  
41 components of some bamboo species in SSA compared with some of the common vegetables widely  
42 grown and eaten in SSA. In many parts of Asia, Europe, Australia and the United States of America,  
43 bamboo shoots are widely eaten as important part of meals. In SSA, there are many bamboo species  
44 that produce edible shoots. However, they are not known to many due to limited awareness. With  
45 increased purchasing cost of many high value vegetables in SSA, bamboo shoots could be a viable  
46 cost-effective source of protein, vitamin and mineral for many households.  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54

#### 55 **4. In which agroforestry system could bamboo be used?**

56 Several agroforestry systems in SSA are characterized and their designs are matched to ecologies and  
57 farmers' needs in this section. In addition, the design of an agroforestry system may be dependent on  
58 the associated non-woody component (crop or livestock) as well as the morphological and  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 physiological characteristics of the woody perennial. In the case of bamboo, sympodial and  
2 monopodial forms may have different agroforestry recommendations. In sympodial bamboos, new  
3 culms develop from buds on elongated culm necks (pseudorhizomes) rather than from buds on  
4 rhizomes. Generally, monopodial bamboos are native to temperate climates with cool, wet winters,  
5 and sympodial bamboos to tropical climates with a pronounced dry season [55, 57]. In SSA, most of  
6 the available bamboo species are sympodial and are highly concentrated in the forest agroecological  
7 zones. Such bamboo species may be suitable for boundary planting, windbreak and shelterbelt  
8 systems or as planted fallows on degraded lands. Similar agroforestry systems with sympodial  
9 bamboo species have been successfully developed in India [32]. The development of any of the  
10 aforementioned agroforestry systems with bamboo species such as *B. multiplex*, *B. vulgaris* (the green  
11 type), *B. bambos*, *B. pervariabilis*, *B. vulgaris var vitata*, *O. abyssinica* or *D. strictus* could be an  
12 opportunity to develop a sustainable bamboo biomass resource base for firewood and charcoal. In  
13 addition, boundary planting and windbreak systems with bamboo could reduce risks of crop failure  
14 and demarcate farm boundaries to minimize land litigations. Considering natural stands of bamboos  
15 (e.g. *B. vulgaris*) are found around water bodies in SSA, this could be a unique opportunity to manage  
16 them for riparian buffer agroforestry developments around major water bodies. Riparian buffers are  
17 importance for water resource conservation and serve other ecosystem services (such as provision of  
18 habitat for wildlife) [26, 69]. Including the aforementioned systems, monopodial bamboos may be  
19 most suitable for intercropping systems. In such intercropping systems, bamboo can be planted at  
20 varied spacing of 4 m × 4 m to 8 m × 8 m, depending on the clump size of the species. In India, crops  
21 such as finger millet, cowpea, bottle gourd, turmeric, sesame, and sweet potato were found to be more  
22 suitable [32]. Bamboo intercropping systems are rare in SSA with the latest being an INBAR-led  
23 bamboo agroforestry pilot project in the dry semi-deciduous zone of Ghana where different cropping  
24 systems with maize, cowpea and cassava are planted between the rows of *B. balcooa* and *O.*  
25 *abyssinica* in the quest to develop a model bamboo-based agroforestry system. While shading effects  
26 beyond three years of bamboo establishment may restrict crop integration, other shade tolerant crops  
27 like ginger could still form part of such an integrated land use system. Furthermore, with relatively  
28 high nutritional composition of bamboo leaves, bamboo silvopasture systems could be developed and  
29 scaled up in SSA particularly in the Savannah/Sahel areas where livestock production is a major  
30 livelihood activity. This implies that even at stages where major food crops could not be integrated  
31 with bamboo due to canopy closure, bamboo fodder could supplement the nutrient requirements of  
32 livestock particularly during the dry seasons where fodder of optimum nutritional quality are often  
33 scarce.

## 56 **5. What can constrain bamboo agroforestry adoption in SSA?**

57 Although agroforestry is often viewed as a sound land use system well adapted to the social and  
58 ecological environment of SSA [64], large scale landscape adoption of agroforestry is relatively low.  
59  
60

1 Referring from the reviews by Franzel [23] and Place and Dewees [66], several factors are likely to  
2 influence adoption of bamboo agroforestry innovations: (1) biophysical adaptation of the innovation  
3 – the ability of the innovation to adapt and be adapted successfully to the farm environment; (2)  
4 profitability of the innovation – in a broad sense to include consideration of returns to labour and land  
5 as well as financial profitability; (3) farmers’ awareness of the innovation; (4) access to land, labour,  
6 and water; (5) access to social capital, particularly where group action is needed; (6) availability of  
7 essential inputs, particularly seed; (7) access to financial capital; and (8) degree of risk and  
8 uncertainty. Like most agroforestry systems, bamboo-based agroforestry systems are also likely to be  
9 faced with (9) the lack of effective and efficient land tenure systems that provide a long-term  
10 guarantee of benefit from up-front investments [42, 43].  
11  
12

## 13 **6. Research needs for bamboo agroforestry development in SSA**

14 Giving bamboo agroforestry is relatively new in SSA, it is imperative that research on the  
15 socioeconomic and biophysical aspects of it is intensified, so that future developments and scaling-up  
16 can be rooted in robust scientific findings rather than the intuitions of governments and development  
17 actors. In sub-sections 6.1 to 6.3 we highlight some relevant areas of research for bamboo  
18 agroforestry development in SSA  
19  
20

### 21 **6.1 Ethnobotany and socioeconomic aspects of bamboo agroforestry**

22 The woody perennial of an agroforestry system technically defines its socioeconomic importance. It is  
23 therefore imperative that one assesses the local knowledge of bamboo within the intended  
24 agroecological zone of establishment. Assessment of local knowledge of bamboo may involve  
25 determining local bamboo use, ecological distribution as well as taboos and belief systems about its  
26 cultivation and utilization. Local knowledge of bamboo may reveal new vistas of bamboo use as well  
27 as constraints that may restrict its adoption by farmers. A major methodology to achieving this is the  
28 administration of questionnaire interviews and field observations that emphasize on the  
29 aforementioned areas and offers the platform to clear doubts and enigma through knowledge sharing.  
30 Considering the dynamic and changing nature of agricultural practices in SSA, understanding the  
31 factors that drive changes within the intended locality may also help guide strategies to promote  
32 sustainable bamboo agroforestry. The drivers of changes could be natural or human induced factors  
33 that directly or indirectly bring about changes in any agricultural production systems [30]. As these  
34 factors are more related to needs and profitability, it will be crucial to investigate the advantages  
35 bamboo could potentially contribute to meeting the subsistent and/or commercial needs of farmers.  
36 Further, the competitive advantage of bamboo (in terms of costs and benefits) would have to be  
37 assessed and compared with trees or shrubs that offer comparable use and importance.  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

## **6.2 Ecological processes and component interaction within bamboo agroforestry**

1  
2 According to Ong et al. [60], the success of any agroforestry system is dependent on the interaction  
3 between the woody perennial and associated components. Such interactions may occur aboveground  
4 or belowground. Competitive and complementary interaction within a bamboo agroforestry system  
5 may be dependent on the arrangement of the components, planting density and the level of technical  
6 management [5, 77]. The study of interactions in bamboo agroforestry may require the examination of  
7 complex ecological processes. Table 2 provides a summary of typical tree-soil-crop interaction  
8 processes that could be expected within a tropical bamboo agroforestry system. Experimental designs  
9 with different planting spacing and density of bamboo and crops may be crucial in defining the best  
10 cultural practices applicable to specific bamboo species and agricultural crops. In addition,  
11 quantifying the spatio-temporal scales of the processes enumerated in Table 2 would inform  
12 management decisions and investment options that promote the productivity and sustainability of  
13 bamboo agroforestry [36].  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22

## **6.3 Testing bamboo fodder for a wide range of livestock**

23  
24 In the quest to develop bamboo-based silvopasture systems, it will be imperative to (1) determine  
25 integrated tree/crop-livestock production systems in an intended locality; (2) identify predominant  
26 livestock feeding practices; (3) document priority fodder species and assess farmers' ethnobotanical  
27 knowledge about the species; (4) determine the nutritional and mineral profile of the prioritized  
28 livestock feed sources; (5) assess the consumption patterns and digestibility of prioritized fodder  
29 species in comparison with bamboo; and (6) evaluate the growth and health (using haematological  
30 indicators and serum biochemistry) of livestock fed with fodder from common species in comparison  
31 with bamboo. In SSA, bamboo generally grows throughout the country although mostly concentrated  
32 in the forest agroecologies. The development of bamboo-based silvopasture systems will be a unique  
33 opportunity for farmers in the Savannah/Sahel agroecological zones where livestock production is a  
34 major livelihood activity.  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44

## **7. Conclusions**

45  
46 The Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) recently recommended the adoption of agroforestry  
47 practices (tree-based farming practices) for food security in all Sub-Saharan African (SSA) countries,  
48 and the need of SSA country governments to incorporate agroforestry into their national agricultural  
49 policies. Presently, agroforestry innovations are encouraged as a sustainable approach to food security  
50 in the UN Sustainable Development Goals. The socioeconomic and ecological importance of bamboo  
51 are not farfetched particularly with the provision of huge biomass source for renewable energy,  
52 potential for restoring degraded forestlands and also as a sustainable carbon sink. By incorporating  
53 bamboo into mixed-use agroforestry complexes, we can maximize its functionality while integrating it  
54 with other production crops. As a relatively new innovation, it is imperative that research on the  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65



1 socioeconomics and biophysical aspects of it is intensified, so that future developments and scaling-up  
2 can be rooted in robust scientific findings rather than the intuitions of governments and development  
3 actors.  
4

## 5 **Acknowledgement**

6 This paper is supported by the International Network for Bamboo and Rattan and the Africa  
7 BiomassWeb project with funding support from the German Government (The Federal Ministry of  
8 Education and Research). We also thank the two anonymous reviewers and the handling editor for  
9 their contributions in improving the content of our manuscript.  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14

## 15 **References**

- 16 1. Adam YO, Eltayeb AM (2016) Forestry decentralization and poverty alleviation: A review. *Forest*  
17 *Policy Econ*. In press. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2016.05.009>
- 18 2. Adkins E, Opielstrup K, Modi V (2012) Rural household energy consumption in the millennium  
19 villages in Sub-Saharan Africa. *Energy Sustain Dev* 16: 249-59.
- 20 3. Agyekum EO, Fortuin KPJ, van der Harst EJM (2014) Comparative life cycle assessment of  
21 Ghana-made bamboo-frame bicycle and conventional bicycles assembled and used in the  
22 Netherlands. In *Proceedings of the 2014 [avniR] Conference*.
- 23 4. Amisah S, Adjei-Boateng D, Afianu DD (2008) Effects of bamboo substrate and supplementary  
24 feed on growth and production of the African catfish, *Clarias gariepinus*. *J Appl Sci and Environ*  
25 *Manage*, 12(2).
- 26 5. Atangana A, Khasa D, Chang S, Degrande A (2014) Ecological interactions and productivity in  
27 agroforestry systems. In *Tropical Agroforestry* (pp. 151-172). Springer Netherlands.
- 28 6. Babulo B, Muys B, Nega F, Tollens E, Nyssen J, Deckers J, Mathijs E (2008) Household  
29 livelihood strategies and forest dependence in the highlands of Tigray, Northern Ethiopia. *Agr*  
30 *Syst* 98:147-55.
- 31 7. Bailis R, Drigo R, Ghilardi A, Masera O (2015) The carbon footprint of traditional wood fuels.  
32 *Nat Clim Change* 5: 266-72.
- 33 8. Batish DR, Kohli RK, Jose S, Singh HP (Eds.) (2007) *Ecological basis of agroforestry*. CRC  
34 Press.
- 35 9. Behari B, Agarwal R, Singh AK, Banerjee SK (2004) Spatial variability of pH and organic carbon  
36 in soils under bamboo based agroforestry models in a degraded area. *Indian Forester*. 130 :521-9.
- 37 10. Ben-Zhi Z, Mao-Yi F, Jin-Zhong X, Xiao-Sheng Y, Zheng-Cai L (2005) Ecological functions of  
38 bamboo forest: research and application. *J Forest Res* 16: 143-147.
- 39 11. Borland AM, Wullschleger SD, Weston DJ, Hartwell J, Tuskan GA, Yang X, Cushman JC (2015)  
40 Climate-resilient agroforestry: physiological responses to climate change and engineering of  
41 crassulacean acid metabolism (CAM) as a mitigation strategy. *Plant Cell Environ* 38: 1833-1849.
- 42 12. Chongtham N, Bisht MS, Haorongbam S (2011) Nutritional properties of bamboo shoots:  
43 potential and prospects for utilization as a health food. *Compr Rev Food Sci F* 10:153-68.
- 44 13. Costanza R, Fioramonti L, Kubiszewski I (2016) The UN Sustainable Development Goals and the  
45 dynamics of well-being. *Front Ecol Environ* 14: 59-59.
- 46 14. Damnyag L, Tyynelä T, Appiah M, Saastamoinen O, Pappinen A (2011) Economic cost of  
47 deforestation in semi-deciduous forests—A case of two forest districts in Ghana. *Ecol Econ* 70:  
48 2503-2510.
- 49 15. Dumenu WK, Obeng EA (2016) Climate change and rural communities in Ghana: Social  
50 vulnerability, impacts, adaptations and policy implications. *Environ Sci Policy* 55: 208-217.
- 51 16. Effah B, Boampong E, Asibey O, Pongo NA, Nkrumah A (2014) Small and Medium Bamboo and  
52 Rattan Enterprises in Economic Empowerment in Kumasi: Perspectives of Producers. *J Soc*  
53 *Econ* 1: 11-21.

17. Ellis F, Kutengule M, Nyasulu A (2003) Livelihoods and rural poverty reduction in Malawi. *World Dev* 3: 1495–1510.
18. FAO (2010a) *Climate Smart Agriculture: Policies, Practices and Financing for Food Security, Adaptation and Mitigation*, Rome, Italy: Food and Agriculture Organization
19. FAO (2010b) *Global forest resources assessment 2010*. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome.
20. FAO (2013) *Advancing Agroforestry on the Policy Agenda: A guide for decision-makers*, by G. Buttoud, in collaboration with Ajayi O, Detlefsen G, Place F, Torquebiau E. *Agroforestry Working Paper no. 1*. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. FAO, Rome. 37 pp.
21. FAO, JRC (2012) *Global forest land-use change 1990–2005*, by Lindquist EJ, D’Annunzio R, Gerrand A, MacDicken K, Achard F, Beuchle R, Brink A, Eva HD, Mayaux P, San-Miguel-Ayanz J, Stibig HJ. *FAO Forestry Paper No. 169*. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations and European Commission Joint Research Centre. Rome, FAO.
22. Forestry Commission (2016) *Bamboo Resources*. Available at <http://www.fcghana.org/baradep/page.php?page=139&section=29&typ=1>. Accessed on 13<sup>th</sup> April 2016.
23. Franzel S (1999) Socioeconomic factors affecting the adoption potential of improved tree fallows in Africa. *Agroforest Syst* 47: 305-321.
24. Fu M, Xiao J, Lou Y (2000) *Cultivation and utilization on bamboo [M]*. Beijing: China Forestry Publishing House.
25. Goldstein M, Udry C (2008) The profits of power: Land rights and agricultural investment in Ghana. *J Polit Econ* 116: 981-1022.
26. Gray CL, Simmons BI, Fayle TM, Mann DJ, Slade EM (2016) Are riparian forest reserves sources of invertebrate biodiversity spillover and associated ecosystem functions in oil palm landscapes?. *Biol Conserv* 194: 176-183.
27. Habib G, Khan NA, Sultan A, Ali M (2016) Nutritive value of common tree leaves for livestock in the semi-arid and arid rangelands of Northern Pakistan. *Livest Sci* 184:64-70.
28. Habib G, Saleem M, Hameed A (2013) Mineral composition of local tree leaves for feeding sheep and goats in Kohat district of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. *Sarhad J. Agric.* 29: 97–103.
29. Hansen CP, Pouliot M, Marfo E, Obiri BD, Treue T (2015) *Forests, Timber and Rural Livelihoods: Implications for Social Safeguards in the Ghana-EU Voluntary Partnership Agreement*. *Small-scale For* 14(4):401-22.
30. Hazell P, Wood S (2008) Drivers of change in global agriculture. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences* 363 :495-515.
31. Hengsdijk H, Conijn JG, Verhagen A (2015) *Climate Smart Agriculture: Synthesis of case studies in Ghana, Kenya and Zimbabwe* (No. 624, p. 26). Wageningen UR.
32. Hiwale S (2015) *Sustainable Horticulture in Semiarid Dry Lands*. Springer.
33. Hoogendoorn JC, Benton A (2014) 13 Bamboo and rattan production and the implications of globalization. *Forests and Globalization: Challenges and Opportunities for Sustainable Development* 166 pp. [http://www.environmentportal.in/files/file/bamboo\\_1.pdf](http://www.environmentportal.in/files/file/bamboo_1.pdf)
34. Hunde KK (2015) The role of Agroforestry system as strategy to adapt and mitigate climate change: A review with examples from Tropical and Temperate regions. *Climate Change* 1: 20-25.
35. Hussein R, Kendie SB, Agbesinyale P (2015) Community participation in the management of forest reserves in the Northern Region of Ghana. *Int J Sust Dev World* 20:1-2.
36. Imo M (2009) Interactions amongst trees and crops in taungya systems of western Kenya. *Agroforest. Syst.* 76: 265–273.
37. INBAR (2014) *Bamboo: A strategic resource for countries to reduce the effects of climate change*. International Network for Bamboo and Rattan. Beijing, China. 20pp.
38. Jalloh A, Nelson GC, Thomas TS, Zougmore R, Roy-Macauley H (eds) (2013) *West African Agriculture and Climate Change*, International Food Policy Research Institute, Washington D.C., USA. 408pp
39. Khan NA, Habib G (2012) Assessment of *Grewia oppositifolia* leaves as feed supplement: nutrient composition, protein degradability, N metabolism and growth rate in sheep. *Trop. Anim. Health Prod.* 44: 1375–1381.

- 1 40. Khan NA, Habib G, Ullah G (2009) Chemical composition, rumen degradability, protein  
2 utilization and lactation response to selected tree leaves as substitute of cottonseed cake in the diet  
3 of dairy goats. *Anim Feed Sci Technol* 154: 160–168
- 4 41. Kristjanson P, Waters-Bayer A, Johnson N, Tipilda A, Njuki J, Baltenweck I, Grace D,  
5 MacMillan S (2014) Livestock and women’s livelihoods. In Quisumbing AR, Meinzen-Dick R,  
6 Raney TL, Croppenstedt A, Behrman JA, Peterman A (eds) *Gender in Agriculture*. Springer  
7 Netherlands pp. 209-233. Available at  
8 <http://libcatalog.cimmyt.org/download/general/98958.pdf#page=220>. Accessed on 13<sup>th</sup> March  
9 2016
- 10 42. Kusimi JM (2008) Assessing land use and land cover change in the Wassa West District of Ghana  
11 using remote sensing. *GeoJournal*, 71: 249-259.
- 12 43. Kusimi JM (2015) Characterizing land disturbance in Atewa Range Forest Reserve and Buffer  
13 Zone. *Land Use Policy* 49: 471-482.
- 14 44. Leakey RRB (1996) Definition of agroforestry revisited. *Agroforestry Today* 8(1): 5–7.
- 15 45. Lobovikov M, Paudel S, Piazza M, Ren H, Wu J (2007) World bamboo resource: A thematic  
16 study prepared in the framework of the Global Forest Resources Assessment 2005. Food and  
17 Agriculture Organization of the United Nation
- 18 46. Lofgren H, Richards A, El Mesbahi KM (2015) Food security poverty and economic policy in the  
19 Middle East and North Africa. *Bulletin Économique et Social du Maroc*, (159), 1-31.
- 20 47. Man N, Sadiya SI (2009) Off-farm employment participation among paddy farmers in the MUDA  
21 Agricultural Development Authority and Kemasin Semerak Granary areas of Malaysia. *Asia-  
22 Pacific Development Journal* 16: 141–153.
- 23 48. Mark AA, Russell AO (2011) A comparative study of Bamboo reinforced concrete beams using  
24 different stirrup materials for rural construction. *Int J Civil Structural Eng* 2: 407.
- 25 49. Martin R, Linstädter A, Frank K, Müller B (2016) Livelihood security in face of drought–  
26 assessing the vulnerability of pastoral households. *Environ Modell Softw* 75: 414-423.
- 27 50. Masters WA, Djurfeldt AA, De Haan C, Hazell P, Jayne T, Jirström M, Reardon T (2013)  
28 Urbanization and farm size in Asia and Africa: implications for food security and agricultural  
29 research. *Global Food Security* 2: 156-165.
- 30 51. Mbow C, Van Noordwijk M, Luedeling E, Neufeldt H, Minang PA, Kowero G (2014)  
31 Agroforestry solutions to address food security and climate change challenges in Africa. *Current  
32 Opinion in Environmental Sustainability* 6: 61-67.
- 33 52. Mekonnen Z, Worku A, Yohannes T, Alebachew M, Kassa H (2014) Bamboo Resources in  
34 Ethiopia: Their value chain and contribution to livelihoods. *Ethnobotany Research and  
35 Applications* 12:511-24.
- 36 53. MOFA (2013) *Agriculture in Ghana: Facts and Figures*. Ministry of Food and Agriculture,  
37 Statistics, Research and Information Directorate. Accra. Available at  
38 [http://mofa.gov.gh/site/?page\\_id=6032](http://mofa.gov.gh/site/?page_id=6032). Accessed on 13<sup>th</sup> May 2016.
- 39 54. Musau Z (2016) Bamboo: Africa’s untapped potential. Available at  
40 [http://www.un.org/africarenewal/magazine/april-2016/bamboo-africa%E2%80%99s-untapped-  
41 potential](http://www.un.org/africarenewal/magazine/april-2016/bamboo-africa%E2%80%99s-untapped-potential). Last date accessed: 1<sup>st</sup> June 2016.
- 42 55. Nath AJ, Das AK (2012) Carbon pool and sequestration potential of village bamboos in the  
43 agroforestry system of northeast India. *Tropical Ecology*, 53: 287-293.
- 44 56. Nath AJ, Lal R, Das AK (2015) Ethnopedology and soil quality of bamboo (*Bambusa* sp.) based  
45 agroforestry system. *Sci Total Environ* 521: 372-379.
- 46 57. Nath S, Das R, Chandra R, Sinha A (2009) Bamboo based agroforestry for marginal lands with  
47 special reference to productivity, market trend and economy. *Agroforestry in Jharkhand*, *Envis  
48 Jharkhand News*, 80-96.
- 49 58. Nyberg G, Knutsson P, Ostwald M, Öborn I, Wredle E, Otieno DJ, Mureithi S, Mwangi P, Said  
50 MY, Jirström M, Grönvall A (2015) Enclosures in West Pokot, Kenya: Transforming land,  
51 livestock and livelihoods in drylands. *Pastoralism* 5(1): 1-12
- 52 59. Obiri BD, Oteng-Amoako AA (2007) Towards a sustainable development of the bamboo industry  
53 in Ghana. *Ghana J Forest* 21: 14-27.
- 54 60. Ong CK, Black C, Wilson J (Eds.) (2015) *Tree-crop Interactions: Agroforestry in a Changing  
55 Climate*. CABI.

- 1 61. Ongugo P, Langat D, Musila Wi (2012) Ecological and socio-economic study on bamboo farming  
2 in the western Mt.Kenya region. Kenya forestry research institute and National museum of  
3 Kenya.
- 4 62. Opoku D, Ayarkwa J, Agyekum K (2016) Factors Inhibiting the Use of Bamboo in Building  
5 Construction in Ghana: Perceptions of Construction Professionals. *Materials Sciences and  
6 Applications* 7(02), 83.
- 7 63. Partey ST (2011) Effect of pruning frequency and pruning height on the biomass production of  
8 *Tithonia diversifolia* (Hemsl) A. Gray. *Agroforest Syst* 83: 181-187.
- 9 64. Partey ST, Thevathasan NV (2013) Agronomic potentials of rarely used agroforestry species for  
10 smallholder agriculture in Sub-Saharan Africa: an exploratory study. *Commun Soil Sci Plan* 44:  
11 1733-1748.
- 12 65. Patra AK (2010) Effects of supplementing low-quality roughages with tree foliages on  
13 digestibility, nitrogen utilization and rumen characteristics in sheep: a meta-analysis. *J Anim  
14 Physiol Anim Nutr.* 94: 338–353.
- 15 66. Place F, Dewees P (1999) Policies and incentives for the adoption of improved  
16 fallows. *Agroforest Syst* 47: 323-343.
- 17 67. Rao MR, Nair PK, Ong CK (1998) Biophysical interactions in tropical agroforestry systems. In  
18 *Directions in Tropical Agroforestry Research* (pp. 3-50). Springer, Netherlands.
- 19 68. Ruf F, Schroth G, Doffangui K (2015) Climate change, cocoa migrations and deforestation in  
20 West Africa: What does the past tell us about the future?. *Sustainability Science* 10: 101-111.
- 21 69. Şekercioğlu ÇH, Loarie SR, Oviedo-Brenes F, Mendenhall CD, Daily GC, Ehrlich PR. 2015.  
22 Tropical countryside riparian corridors provide critical habitat and connectivity for seed-  
23 dispersing forest birds in a fragmented landscape. *J Ornithol* 156: 343-353.
- 24 70. Singhal P, Satya S, Sudhakar P (2011) Antioxidant and pharmaceutical potential of bamboo  
25 leaves. *Bamboo Science and Culture* 24: 19-28.
- 26 71. Smith P, Martino D, Cai Z, Gwary D, Janzen H, Kumar P, McCarl B, Ogle S, O'Mara F, Rice C,  
27 Scholes B (2007) Policy and technological constraints to implementation of greenhouse gas  
28 mitigation options in agriculture. *Agri Ecosyst Environ* 118: 6-28
- 29 72. Sohel MS, Alamgir M, Akhter S, Rahman M (2015) Carbon storage in a bamboo (*Bambusa*  
30 *vulgaris*) plantation in the degraded tropical forests: Implications for policy development. *Land  
31 Use Policy* 49:142-51.
- 32 73. Song X, Zhou G, Jiang H, Yu S, Fu J, Li W, Wang W, Ma Z, Peng C (2011) Carbon sequestration  
33 by Chinese bamboo forests and their ecological benefits: assessment of potential, problems, and  
34 future challenges. *Environmental Reviews* 19: 418-428.
- 35 74. Stow DA, Weeks JR, Shih HC, Coulter LL, Johnson H, Tsai YH, Kerr A, Benza M, Mensah F  
36 (2016) Inter-regional pattern of urbanization in southern Ghana in the first decade of the new  
37 millennium. *Appl Geogra* 71:32-43.
- 38 75. SWAC-OECD/ECOWAS (2008) Livestock and regional market in the Sahel and West Africa –  
39 Potentials and challenges. *Sahel and West Africa Club/OECD*, Paris, France. pp 151.
- 40 76. Thapa B, Walker DH, Sinclair FL (1997) Indigenous knowledge of the feeding value of tree  
41 fodder. *Anim Feed Sci Technol* 68: 37-54.
- 42 77. Thevathasan NV, Gordon AM (2004) Ecology of tree intercropping systems in the North  
43 temperate region: Experiences from southern Ontario, Canada. *Agroforest Syst* 61:257-68.
- 44 78. Verchot LV, Van Noordwijk M, Kandji S, Tomich T, Ong C, Albrecht A, Mackensen J, Bantilan  
45 C, Anupama KV, Palm C (2007) Climate change: linking adaptation and mitigation through  
46 agroforestry. *Mitig Adapt Strat GL* 12: 901-918.
- 47 79. Wicke B, Smeets E, Watson H, Faaij A (2011) The current bioenergy production potential of  
48 semi-arid and arid regions in sub-Saharan Africa. *Biomass Bioenerg* 35: 2773-86.
- 49 80. Wu ZN, Fu J, Zhuang JY (2003) Study on hydrological and soil conservation benefit of Moso  
50 bamboo forest and other forests. *J Anhui Agric Sci* 31: 200–202.
- 51 81. Wunder S (2001) Poverty alleviation and tropical forests — what scope for synergies? *World  
52 Dev.* 29: 1817–1833.
- 53 82. Wunder S, Bournier J, Shively G, Wyman M (2014) Safety nets, gap filling and forests: a global-  
54 comparative perspective. *World Dev.* 64: S29–S42
- 55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65
83. Xuhe C (2003) Promotion of bamboo for poverty alleviation and economic development. *Journal of Bamboo and Rattan*, 2: 345-350.
84. Zaibet L, Traore S, Ayantunde A, Marshall K, Johnson N, Siegmund-Schultze M (2011) Livelihood strategies in endemic livestock production systems in sub-humid zone of West Africa: trends, trade-offs and implications. *Environ Dev Sustain* 13(1): 87-105
85. Zhou GM, Jiang PK (2004) Density, storage and spatial distribution of carbon in *Phyllostachys pubescens* forest. *Sci Sil Sin* 40: 20–25.

1 Table 1 Comparative account of various nutrients present in freshly emerged juvenile bamboo shoots and some common vegetables (adapted from  
 2 Chongtham et al. [12])  
 3

Species	Amino acids (g 100g <sup>-1</sup> )	Protein (g 100g <sup>-1</sup> )	Carbohydrates (g 100g <sup>-1</sup> )	Starch (g 100g <sup>-1</sup> )	Fat (g 100g <sup>-1</sup> )	Vitamin C (mg 100g <sup>-1</sup> )	Vitamin E (mg 100g <sup>-1</sup> )	Ash (g 100g <sup>-1</sup> )	Moisture (g 100g <sup>-1</sup> )	Dietary fiber (g 100g <sup>-1</sup> )
<b>Bamboo</b>										
<i>Bambusa bambos</i>	4.0	3.6	5.4	0.3	0.5	1.9	0.6	1.4	89.8	3.5
<i>Bambusa vulgaris</i>	3.6	3.6	6.5	0.3	0.5	4.8	0.5	1.0	90.6	4.2
<i>Dendrocalamus asper</i>	3.1	3.6	4.9	0.4	0.4	3.2	0.9	1.0	89.4	3.5
<i>Dendrocalamus brandisii</i>	3.0	2.3	4.9	0.5	0.2	1.6	0.4	0.6	89.8	4.0
<i>Dendrocalamus membranaceus</i>	3.5	3.4	5.4	0.2	0.4	1.6	0.7	0.6	89.3	2.9
<i>Dendrocalamus strictus</i>	3.1	2.6	6.2	0.3	0.3	2.4	0.6	0.7	90.1	2.3
<i>Gigantochloa albociliata</i>	3.5	3.1	4.6	0.3	0.5	1.0	0.6	0.7	89.2	4.2
<b>Common vegetables</b>										
<i>Brassica oleracea var. capitata</i>	0.3	1.8	5.6	-	0.1	2.6	32.2	0.7	91.9	1.0
<i>Daucus carota</i>	0.2	0.9	10.6	-	0.2	1.2	3.0	1.1	86.0	1.2
<i>Solanum tuberosum</i>	0.2	1.6	22.6	15.4	0.1	0.4	19.7	1.1	74.7	0.4
<i>Abelmoschus esculentus</i>	0.3	1.9	6.4	-	0.2	1.2	13.0	1.1	88.3	1.2
<i>Cucumis sativus</i>	0.1	0.6	2.5	0.1	0.1	0.7	3.2	0.4	96.3	0.4
<i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i>	0.3	18.8	20.1	-	2.0	4.6	-	4.3	10.8	1.8
<i>Solanum melongena</i>	0.2	1.4	4.0	-	0.3	1.3	12.0	0.8	92.7	1.3

4 - = data unavailable  
 5  
 6  
 7  
 8  
 9  
 10  
 11

1 Table 2 Possible tree-soil-crop interaction processes in tropical bamboo agroforestry systems  
 2 (adapted from Rao et al. [67])

Nature of interaction	Process
Soil fertility: Chemical Carbon	Increases in active pools of soil organic matter through litterfall, root turnover and incorporation of tree prunings and crop residues
Nitrogen	Increased soil N supply through deep soil N capture and reduced leaching
Phosphorus	Transformation of less available inorganic P forms into readily plant-available forms
Cations (Ca, Mg, K)	Relocation in soil profile, organic acids binding Al, localized Al detoxification
Soil fertility: Physical	improved soil aggregation, porosity and pore connectivity, reduced bulk density, break up of hardpans/compacted soil layers
Soil fertility: biological	Build-up of soil macrofauna and microbial populations, reduced/increased soil insect pests and pathogens
Competition	Sharing of growth resources: light, water and nutrients by trees and crops
Microclimate	Shading (reduced soil and air temperature); shelter (protection from wind); rainfall interception and re-distribution
Conservation	Reduced soil erosion, reduced leaching
Biological: Weeds	Reduced weed population, shifts in weed species, decreased viability of perennial weed rhizomes, decay of annual weed seed bank
Pests and diseases	Reduced/increased pest-parasite/predator populations
Allelopathy	Release of growth affecting chemicals into soil environment

3

## Agricultural Research

### Copyright Transfer and Financial Disclosure/Conflict of Interest Statement

The copyright to this article is transferred to the National Academy of Agricultural Sciences, New Delhi, India (for U.S. government employees: to the extent transferable) effective if and when the article is accepted for publication. The author warrants that his/her contribution is original and that he/she has full power to make this grant. The author signs for and accepts responsibility for releasing this material on behalf of any and all co-authors. The copyright transfer covers the exclusive right to reproduce and distribute the article, including reprints, translations, photographic reproductions, microform, electronic form (offline, online) or any other reproductions of similar nature.

An author may self-archive an author-created version of his/her article on his/her own website and or in his/her institutional repository. He/she may also deposit this version on his/her funder's or funder's designated repository at the funder's request or as a result of a legal obligation, provided it is not made publicly available until 12 months after the official publication of the article. He/she may not use the publisher's PDF version, which is posted on [www.springerlink.com](http://www.springerlink.com), for the purpose of self-archiving or deposit. Furthermore, the author may only post his/her version provided acknowledgement is given to the original source of publication and a link is inserted to the published article on Springer's website. The link must be accompanied by the following text: "The original publication is available at [www.springerlink.com](http://www.springerlink.com)".

The author is requested to use the appropriate DOI for the article. Articles disseminated via [www.springerlink.com](http://www.springerlink.com) are indexed, abstracted and referenced by many abstracting and information services, bibliographic networks, subscription agencies, library networks, and consortia. After submission of the agreement signed by the corresponding author, changes of authorship or in the order of the authors listed will not be accepted.

I, the undersigned corresponding author, also certify that I/we have no commercial associations (e.g., consultancies, stock ownership, equity interests, patent-licensing arrangements, etc.) that might pose a conflict of interest in connection with the submitted article, except as disclosed on a separate attachment. All funding sources supporting the work and all institutional or corporate affiliations of mine/ours are acknowledged in a footnote. *Please mention if a separate attachment is enclosed.*

#### Title of article:

Bamboo agroforestry for sustainable development in sub-Saharan Africa: review of potentials and research needs

#### Author(s):

Samuel T. Partey, Daniel A. Sarfo, Oliver Frith, Michael Kwaku and Naresh V. Thevathasan

#### Author's signature:



**Date:** 01 June 2016

**National Academy  
of Agricultural  
Sciences**

Please sign this form, scan and upload to:  
<http://www.editorialmanager.com/agri> while submitting your manuscript





<http://www.springer.com/journal/40003>

Agricultural Research

Editor-in-Chief: Varma, A.

ISSN: 2249-720X (print version)

ISSN: 2249-7218 (electronic version)

Journal no. 40003