



SMARCE1 mutation screening in classification of clear cell meningiomas

DOI:

[10.1111/his.13135](https://doi.org/10.1111/his.13135)

Document Version

Accepted author manuscript

[Link to publication record in Manchester Research Explorer](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Smith, M. J., Ahn, S., Lee, J.-I., Bulman, M., du Plessis, D., & Suh, Y.-L. (2017). SMARCE1 mutation screening in classification of clear cell meningiomas. *Histopathology*, 70(5). <https://doi.org/10.1111/his.13135>

Published in:

Histopathology

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on Manchester Research Explorer is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Proof version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Explorer are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Takedown policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please refer to the University of Manchester's Takedown Procedures [<http://man.ac.uk/04Y6Bo>] or contact uml.scholarlycommunications@manchester.ac.uk providing relevant details, so we can investigate your claim.



***SMARCE1* mutation screening in classification of clear cell meningiomas**

**Miriam J. Smith^{*},¹ Soomin Ahn^{*},² Jung-II Lee,³ Michael Bulman,¹ Daniel du Plessis,⁴
Yeon-Lim Suh⁵**

¹Manchester Centre for Genomic Medicine, Manchester Academic Health Sciences Centre (MAHSC), St. Mary's Hospital, University of Manchester, United Kingdom

²Department of Pathology, Ewha Womans University School of Medicine, Seoul, Korea.

³Department of Neurosurgery, Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul, Korea

⁴Department of Cellular Pathology & Greater Manchester Neurosciences Centre, Salford Royal Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Salford, M6 8HD, UK

⁵Department of Pathology and Translational Genomics, Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul, Korea

* These authors contributed equally.

Running title: *SMARCE1* mutation in clear cell meningioma

Correspondence address:

Yeon-Lim Suh, M.D, PhD

Department of Pathology and Translational Genomics, Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, 81 Irwon-ro, Gangnam-gu, Seoul, 06351, Korea.

Tel: +82-3410-2800; Fax: +82-2-3410-0025

E-mail: ylsuh76@skku.edu

ABSTRACT

Aims: Clear cell meningioma is a rare subtype of meningioma and shows not only unusual histology, but also unique clinical features. Recently, *SMARCE1* mutations have been shown to cause spinal and cranial clear cell meningiomas. We present 12 cases which were diagnosed with a clear cell meningioma in a single institution between 1997 and 2014, and investigate their *SMARCE1* mutation status. **Methods and results:** To investigate the *SMARCE1* mutation status of these tumours, we used a combination of Sanger sequencing and multiplex ligation dependent probe amplification analysis and also performed *SMARCE1* immunohistochemical staining. We found both *SMARCE1* mutational hits, including novel *SMARCE1* mutations, in six out of eight tested patients. Immunohistochemical analysis showed loss of *SMARCE1* protein staining in all but two cases. Two individuals who were originally diagnosed with clear cell meningioma were negative for *SMARCE1* mutations, but tested positive for *NF2* mutations. As a result, these two tumours were reanalyzed and eventually reclassified, as a microcystic and a mixed growth pattern meningioma with focal clear cell areas, respectively. **Conclusions:** These results expand the spectrum of pathogenic variants in *SMARCE1* and show that mutation screening can help facilitate meningioma classification. This may have implications for prognosis and future clinical management of patients, since clear cell meningiomas are classed as grade II tumours, while microcystic and meningothelial meningiomas are classed as grade I.

Keywords: *SMARCE1*, meningioma, clear cell

INTRODUCTION

Meningiomas are the most common form of primary neoplasm in the adult central nervous system (CNS).^{1,2} Meningiomas account for 1.0-4.6% of childhood brain tumours.³ While most meningiomas have a good prognosis, high recurrence rates have been observed for WHO grade II and III meningiomas.⁴ Among grade II and III meningiomas, clear cell meningioma (CCM) is rare, consisting of only 0.2% to 0.81% of all meningiomas.⁵ Histologically, CCMs are characterized by patternless distribution of polygonal cells with a clear, glycogen-rich cytoplasm and blocky, perivascular, and interstitial collagen. CCMs show not only unusual histology, but also unique clinical features. They tend to occur in young patients, and are more likely to recur or metastasize than other common subtypes.⁶ Recurrence and CNS metastasis occurred in 37.8% and 8.1% of the cases reported in the literature, respectively.⁶

Although the molecular genetic alterations occurring in meningiomas have not yet been fully elucidated,^{5,7} meningiomas exhibit a wide spectrum of molecular alterations. *NF2* mutations are the most common somatic genetic alteration found in sporadic meningiomas, and germline mutation of the *NF2* gene is known to predispose to multiple meningiomas as part of the neurofibromatosis type 2 (NF2) phenotype.⁸ Genomic sequencing of a subset of meningiomas lacking *NF2* alteration elucidated recurrent *SMO* and *AKT1* mutations, which have the potential to guide new therapeutic strategies.⁹ Furthermore, genomic changes are associated with histologic subtype and genomic data of various subtypes are expected to facilitate more accurate histologic grading and subtyping.¹⁰⁻¹³ In case of CCM, germline loss-of-function mutations in the *SMARCE1* chromatin remodeling factor were identified as a cause of both spinal and cranial CCM.^{8,14} To date, twelve families with *SMARCE1*-associated CCM have been reported (Table 1).¹⁴⁻¹⁸ *SMARCE1* mutations appear to be specific for the clear cell histological subtype, rather

than tumour location or syndromic presentation.⁸ Previously, intracranial CCM has also been reported in one child diagnosed with NF2 disease on clinical grounds¹⁹ and one adult, also on clinical grounds,¹⁶ while most meningiomas that occur in NF2 show a fibroblastic or transitional histology.²⁰ In this study, we report 12 cases which were diagnosed as a CCM in a single institute of Korea during an 18-year of period. We investigated the involvement of *SMARCE1* mutation in these tumours.

MATERIALS & METHODS

Patient population and data collection

A retrospective search of the pathology database at the Samsung Medical Center, using the term “Clear cell meningioma”, identified a total of 14 samples from 12 patients. All patients underwent surgery at the neurosurgery unit of the Samsung Medical Center, Seoul, South Korea, between 1997 and 2014. For patient 5 who underwent a two-staged operation, two surgical specimens (5-1 and 5-2) were included. Patient 6 underwent an initial operation at an outside hospital. The sample used in this study was a recurrent tumour with a nine year interval. The primary tumour sample for this patient was not available. For patient 11, who showed progression of disease, the initial tumour (11-1) and progressed tumour (11-2) with a three month interval, were analysed. A blood sample was also acquired from this patient. All patients were followed until October 2015, with a median follow-up time of 59 months. Formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissue was obtained from a retrospectively collected archive. Clinical information including age, tumour site, local and adjuvant therapy, recurrence and survival data were evaluated by reviewing the medical records. The study was approved by the institutional review board (IRB) at the Samsung Medical Center.

DNA extraction

Genomic DNA was extracted using a Qiagen DNA FFPE Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions from. After extraction, concentration, 260/280 and 260/230 nm ratio were measured by spectrophotometer (ND1000, Nanodrop Technologies, Thermo-Fisher Scientific, MA, USA).

Sanger sequencing

SMARCE1 exons were amplified from tumour DNA, using GoTaq G2 Green Master Mix (Promega, Southampton, UK). The products were purified using AxyPrep Mag PCR clean up beads (Appleton Woods, Birmingham, UK). Sequencing PCR was performed using the BigDye[®] Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit (ABI, Life Technologies, Paisley, UK). The products were purified using AxyPrep Mag DyeClean beads (Appleton Woods, Birmingham, UK) and analysed on an ABI 3730xl DNA Analyzer (ABI, Life Technologies, Paisley, UK).

Multiplex ligation dependent probe amplification (MLPA)

MLPA was carried out using SALSA MLPA kits, X072-X1 and P043-NF2 (MRC-Holland, Amsterdam, the Netherlands), according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, 100 ng DNA were used for hybridization, ligation and amplification of exon probes for control and test samples and the products were analyzed on an ABI 3100 sequencer (Applied Biosystems, Warrington, UK).

Immunohistochemistry

Paraffin embedded meningioma sections were immunostained for *SMARCE1* protein as described previously.¹⁴ Briefly, 1:100 anti-*SMARCE1* HPA003916 antibody (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO), was used to stain sections, using an indirect peroxidase method on the Roche

Ultra IHC autostainer. This antibody is polyclonal and has been raised against the C-terminal end of SMARCE1 (amino acids 301-408).

RESULTS

Clinicopathologic feature of 12 patients diagnosed with a clear cell meningioma

The clinicopathologic information of the patients is summarized in Table 2. There were five spinal tumours and seven cranial tumours. The tumour sites were as follows: spinal cord, frontal lobe, cerebellomedullary cistern, cerebellopontine angle, and falx cerebelli, low cranial nerve. The sample from patient 6 was a recurrent tumour (nine years interval) and patient 11 showed disease progression (three months interval). However, to date, none of the patients have died due to tumour recurrence or progression. All patients denied any familial history or past history of brain or spinal tumour.

***SMARCE1* status**

Immunohistochemical analysis of paraffin embedded tissue from each tumour showed loss of SMARCE1 protein staining in 12 of 14 tumours (Figure 1, Table 2).

To investigate the *SMARCE1* mutation status of these tumours, we used a combination of Sanger sequencing and MLPA analysis (Table 2). Four tumours were excluded from genetic analysis due to poor DNA quality. We found two *SMARCE1* mutational hits in each of six out of eight tested patients. We identified two splice-site mutations, three nonsense mutations, three frameshift mutations, and one indel. These include three novel mutations located in exons 3 (c.23delC, p.(Pro9Hisfs*62)) and 10 ((c.831delA, p.(Lys277Lys*1) and (c.957delC, p.(Pro320Leufs*122)). The *SMARCE1* exon 9 mutation, c.715C>T, p.(R239*), identified in one

patient has been seen previously in another cohort.¹⁴ In the current study this mutation was associated with a recurrent tumour.

For patient 11, showing tumour progression, an identical mutation, c.331G>T, p.(E111*), was found in both the original and progressed tumour samples (11-1 and 11-2). A blood sample was available for analysis and we were able to confirm the presence of this mutation in the germline. Two surgical specimens for the tumour from patient 5 (5-1 and 5-2) also showed identical mutations.

Tumours from three individuals contained two point mutations, rather than one point mutation and loss of heterozygosity (LOH). These included an exon 6 splice-site mutation and an exon 10 frameshift in the cranial CCM of a 16 year old male; two frameshift mutations involving exons 3 and 8 were identified in the spinal CCM of a 19 year old female. Finally, a nonsense mutation in exon 6 and a frameshift alteration in exon 10 were detected in the spinal CCM of a 10 year old female.

For the two tumours in which no *SMARCE1* mutations were found (from patients 8 and 9), we analysed the *NF2* gene and identified biallelic inactivating mutations in both tumours. The first contained a frameshift mutation, c.579delA, p.(Ala194Alafs*15) in exon 6, with LOH. The second tumour contained the missense mutation, c.755C>T, p.(Pro252Leu), in exon 8, also with LOH. The variant p.(Pro252Leu) is non-truncating, but was not found on ESP6500SI-V2 or ExAC and was predicted to be damaging by Polyphen2, SIFT, Mutation Taster and Align GVGD, suggesting that it is pathogenic.

Histologic reclassification

Since we identified two *NF2* alterations in each of these last two tumours, *SMARCE1* protein staining was positive, and we could not find *SMARCE1* mutations in either of these tumours, the tissue was reassessed (by S.A., Y.S. and D.dP). It was determined that the major histology for the tumour from patient 8 was in fact microcystic (Figure 2). For patient 9, the tumour contained a focal clear cell component; however, the tumour was heterogeneous in composition, also containing meningotheelial and small cell constituents (Figure 2).

After removing these two males without conventional CCM morphology, male to female ratio was 6:4 and cranial-to-spinal tumour ratio was equal (5:5). In this cohort, the mean and median ages at diagnosis of meningioma were not significantly different between males (27 and 24 years, respectively) and females (23 and 24.5 years, respectively).

DISCUSSION

Meningiomas consist of various histologic subtypes which appear to be determined by distinct genetic alterations. Recently, several large-scale genomic studies of meningioma extended our knowledge of somatic molecular alteration in various types of meningioma.^{9,10,13,21,22} These data can be used to fine-tune diagnostic accuracy, both subtyping and potentially grading.^{11-13,21} In CCM, germline loss-of-function mutations in the *SMARCE1* chromatin remodeling factor were identified as a cause of both spinal and cranial CCM.^{14,16} To date, including the current study, pathogenic *SMARCE1* mutations have been associated with CCM in individuals from 20 separate families. The majority of identified *SMARCE1* mutations are nonsense or frameshift variants, predicted to lead to protein inactivation and complete loss of the protein product. Previous studies have shown two unrelated families to have a large multi-exon deletion, while one family

has an intraexonic inversion mutation and two families have a small exonic indel (Table 1). To date, missense mutations have not been associated with CCM. The majority of *SMARCE1* nonsense and frameshift mutations have been found in exon 6. The functional significance of this region is further highlighted by three splice sites mutation that have been found in exons 5 and 6, with the potential to generate aberrantly spliced transcripts and therefore to perturb protein structure and function. However, immunohistochemical analysis of available tissue has shown loss of *SMARCE1* protein in all *SMARCE1* mutation positive tumours, suggesting that if any alternatively spliced products are generated, they are unstable.

Mutational analysis in the current cohort identified two *SMARCE1* mutations in eight tumours from six people. No *SMARCE1* mutations were identified in two remaining tumours, but two mutational hits were identified in *NF2* (a point mutation and LOH) in each tumour. Each of these *NF2*-mutated tumours showed an unconventional morphology for CCM and subsequent reanalysis of their histology established one to be a microcystic meningioma (patient 8) and the other to have a mixed histology (patient 9). Microcystic meningiomas are known to be problematic to distinguish from CCMs. The tumour from patient 9 contained a focal clear cell component, however, the tumour was heterogeneous in composition, also containing meningothelial and small cell constituents (Figure 2).

CCMs are classed as grade II meningiomas and show unique clinical features. They tend to occur in young patients, and are more likely to recur or metastasize than other common subtypes.⁶ In this cohort the mean and median ages at diagnosis of meningioma were not significantly different between males (27 and 24 years, respectively) and females (23 and 24.5 years, respectively). This is in contrast to previous studies, indicating an earlier onset in males versus females. The reason for this is unknown. However, as the mutation status in tumours from

four adult males is unknown and no lymphocyte DNA was available for any of these patients to test germline status, it is possible that these are sporadic tumours occurring at a later age, while the younger male patients have a germline predisposition. The limitations of this study are that the follow-up period was relatively short for some patients and germline DNA was only available for one patient, limiting our ability to determine which cases were due to germline mutation and which were sporadic.

This study adds to the known spectrum of meningioma-associated variants in *SMARCE1* and the natural history of CCMs. To our knowledge, this is the first study examining *SMARCE1* mutation status in Asian patients with CCM. It also demonstrates the utility of genetic screening in accurate classification of meningiomas. This may have implications for future clinical management of patients, since CCMs are classed as grade II tumours, while microcystic are classed as grade I. The study findings also raise the prospect that meningiomas with focal clear cell components do not share the same genotype as conventional, “pure” CCM and may not, by implication, carry the same adverse prognosis. This, however, requires validation, requiring the genotyping of larger numbers of mixed meningiomas with focal clear cell areas.

Acknowledgments

We would like to acknowledge the Children's Tumor Foundation who partly funded this study.

We would also like to thank all the patients who provided tumours for the study.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest to declare.

Author contributions

Y.L.Suh conceived the idea and designed the study. Y.L.Suh, S.Ahn and D.plessis reviewed the slides. M.J.Smith, and M.Bulman conducted the experiment and analyzed the data. D.Plessis performed immunohistochemical staining and interpreted the results. J.I.Lee contributed by providing study material. S.Ahn and M.J.Smith wrote the main manuscript text. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

REFERENCES

1. Riemenschneider MJ, Perry A, Reifenberger G. Histological classification and molecular genetics of meningiomas. *The Lancet. Neurology* 2006; **5**; 1045-1054.
2. Ostrom QT, Gittleman H, Liao P *et al.* CBTRUS statistical report: primary brain and central nervous system tumors diagnosed in the United States in 2007-2011. *Neuro-oncology* 2014; **16 Suppl 4**; iv1-iv63.
3. Caroli E, Russillo M, Ferrante L. Intracranial meningiomas in children: report of 27 new cases and critical analysis of 440 cases reported in the literature. *Journal of child neurology* 2006; **21**; 31-36.
4. Louis DN, Ohgaki H, Wiestler OD *et al.* The 2007 WHO classification of tumours of the central nervous system. *Acta neuropathologica* 2007; **114**; 97-109.
5. Mawrin C, Perry A. Pathological classification and molecular genetics of meningiomas. *Journal of neuro-oncology* 2010; **99**; 379-391.
6. Ohba S, Sasaki H, Kimura T, Ikeda E, Kawase T. Clear cell meningiomas: three case reports with genetic characterization and review of the literature. *Neurosurgery* 2010; **67**; E870-871; discussion E871.
7. Perez-Magan E, Campos-Martin Y, Mur P *et al.* Genetic alterations associated with progression and recurrence in meningiomas. *Journal of neuropathology and experimental neurology* 2012; **71**; 882-893.
8. Smith MJ. Germline and somatic mutations in meningiomas. *Cancer genetics* 2015; **208**; 107-114.
9. Brastianos PK, Horowitz PM, Santagata S *et al.* Genomic sequencing of meningiomas identifies oncogenic SMO and AKT1 mutations. *Nature genetics* 2013; **45**; 285-289.
10. Clark VE, Erson-Omay EZ, Serin A *et al.* Genomic analysis of non-NF2 meningiomas reveals mutations in TRAF7, KLF4, AKT1, and SMO. *Science (New York, N.Y.)* 2013; **339**; 1077-1080.
11. Sahm F, Schrimpf D, Olar A *et al.* TERT Promoter Mutations and Risk of Recurrence in Meningioma. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute* 2016; **108**.
12. Aizer AA, Abedalthagafi M, Bi WL *et al.* A prognostic cytogenetic scoring system to guide the adjuvant management of patients with atypical meningioma. *Neuro-oncology* 2016; **18**; 269-274.
13. Abedalthagafi MS, Merrill PH, Bi WL *et al.* Angiomatous meningiomas have a distinct genetic profile with multiple chromosomal polysomies including polysomy of chromosome 5. *Oncotarget* 2014; **5**; 10596-10606.

14. Smith MJ, O'Sullivan J, Bhaskar SS *et al.* Loss-of-function mutations in SMARCE1 cause an inherited disorder of multiple spinal meningiomas. *Nature genetics* 2013; **45**; 295-298.
15. Raffalli-Ebezant H, Rutherford SA, Stivaros S *et al.* Pediatric intracranial clear cell meningioma associated with a germline mutation of SMARCE1: a novel case. *Child's nervous system : ChNS : official journal of the International Society for Pediatric Neurosurgery* 2015; **31**; 441-447.
16. Smith MJ, Wallace AJ, Bennett C *et al.* Germline SMARCE1 mutations predispose to both spinal and cranial clear cell meningiomas. *The Journal of pathology* 2014; **234**; 436-440.
17. Evans LT, Van Hoff J, Hickey WF *et al.* SMARCE1 mutations in pediatric clear cell meningioma: case report. *Journal of neurosurgery. Pediatrics* 2015; **16**; 296-300.
18. Gerkes EH, Fock JM, den Dunnen WF *et al.* A heritable form of SMARCE1-related meningiomas with important implications for follow-up and family screening. *Neurogenetics* 2016; **17**; 83-89.
19. Jain D, Sharma MC, Sarkar C *et al.* Clear cell meningioma, an uncommon variant of meningioma: a clinicopathologic study of nine cases. *Journal of neuro-oncology* 2007; **81**; 315-321.
20. Wellenreuther R, Kraus JA, Lenartz D *et al.* Analysis of the neurofibromatosis 2 gene reveals molecular variants of meningioma. *The American journal of pathology* 1995; **146**; 827-832.
21. Gunel M. 218 Meningioma Driver Mutations Determine Their Anatomical Site of Origin. *Neurosurgery* 2016; **63 Suppl 1**; 185.
22. Abedalthagafi M, Bi WL, Aizer AA *et al.* Oncogenic PI3K mutations are as common as AKT1 and SMO mutations in meningioma. *Neuro-oncology* 2016; **18**; 649-655.

Table 1. Clinical and genetic data on reported SMARCE1 mutated clear cell meningioma

Study	Patient	Sex	Age of onset (years)	Location	Germline Mutation	Predicted protein alteration	Tumor mutations
Smith <i>et al.</i> 2013	1	Female	27	Spinal	c.715C>T	p.Arg239*	NA
	2	Female	30	Spinal	c.237+2T>C	p.Lys79_Val80ins3* p.Ala53_Lys79del	NA
	3	Male	26	Spinal	c.311G>A	p.Trp104*	Tumor 1: c.311G>A heterozygous Tumor 2: c.311G>A + LOH
	4	Female	17	Spinal	c.572insC	p.Thr191Thrfs*14	c.572insC heterozygous
Smith <i>et al.</i> 2014	5	Male	7	Spinal	Not tested	Not tested	Hit 1: c.624_627delTGAG, p.(Ser208Argfs*26) Hit 2: LOH
	6	Female	22	Cranial	Not tested	Not tested	Hit 1: c.357C>G, p.(Tyr119*) Hit 2: LOH
	7	Male	10	Cranial	Not tested	Not tested	Hit 1: c.688C>T, p.(Gln230*) Hit 2: LOH
	8	Male	8	Spinal	Not tested	Not tested	Hit 1: Del promoter-E5/6 Hit 2: LOH
	9†	Male	2	Spinal	c.275_276in	p.Leu93Valfs*17	Hit 1: c.275_276insA,

					sA		p.(Leu93Valfs*17) Hit 2: LOH
	10‡	Female	14	Cranial	c.374_395inv22	p.(Glu125_Ala132delinsGlyLeuHisArgPhelleValLeu)	Hit 1: c.374_395inv22, p.(Glu125_Ala132delinsGlyLeuHisArgPhelleValLeu) Hit 2: c.267delT, p.(Asp90Thrfs*2)
	11	Female	17	Spinal	Del promoter-E5/6	No protein product	Hit 1: del promoter to E5/6 Hit 2: c.757C>T, p.(Gln253*)
Gerkes <i>et al.</i> 2016	12	Male	10	Cranial	c.814delA	p.Arg272Glyfs*5	Hit 1: c.814delA, p.(Arg272Glyfs*5) Hit 2: LOH

† Discussed in more detail in Evans *et al* 2014

‡ Discussed in more detail in Raffalli-Ebezant *et al* 2014

Table 2. Clinical and genetic information of 12 patients diagnosed with a clear cell meningioma

Sample No.	Age	Sex	Location	Treatment	Recur	Death	F/U period (month)	SMARCE1 protein staining	SMARCE1 Mutation 1	SMARCE1 Mutation 2	NF2 Mutation 1	NF2 Mutation 2
1	22	M	Spinal cord (L4-S2)	GTR	No recur	Alive	106	Negative	ND	ND	ND	ND
2	39	M	CPA	STR and RT	No recur	Alive	157	Negative	ND	ND	ND	ND
3	16	M	CMC	STR and RT	No recur	Alive	18	Negative	Exon 6 c.238-1G>A, p.(?)	Exon 10 c.957delC, p.(Pro320Leufs*122)	Not tested	Not tested
4	26	M	CPA	GTR	No recur	Alive	51	Negative	ND	ND	ND	ND
5-1	11	M	CPA	STR	No recur	Alive	100	Negative	Exon6 c.369+1G>C, p.(?)	LOH	Not tested	Not tested
5-2				GTR				Negative	Same as no.5-1	Same as no.5-1	Not tested	Not tested
6*	33	F	Spinal cord (L5-S2)	GTR	Recurrent	Alive	83	Negative	Exon 9 c.715C>T, p.(R239*)	LOH	Not tested	Not tested
7	48	M	Spinal cord (L5-S2)	GTR	No recur	Alive	59	Negative	ND	ND	ND	ND

8#	58	M	Falx cerebelli	STR and RT	No recur	Alive	58	Positive	Negative	Negative	NF2 Exon 6 c.579delA, p.(Ala194Alafs*15)	LOH
9#	31	M	Frontal lobe	STR and RT	No recur	Alive	59	Positive	Negative	Negative	NF2 Exon 8 c.755C>T, p.(Pro252Leu)	LOH
10	19	F	Spinal cord (T12)	GTR	No recur	Alive	25	Negative	Exon 3 c.23delC, p.(Pro9Hisfs*62)	Exon 8 c.689_698delinsCCAGT, p.(Gln230Profs*13)	Not tested	Not tested
11-1**	30	F	Low cranial nerve	STR and RT	Progressive	Alive	30	Negative	Exon 6 c.331G>T, p.(E111*)	LOH	Negative	Negative
11-2**				STR and RT				Negative	Same as no.11-1	Same as no.11-1	Negative	Negative
12	10	F	Spinal cord (L1-2)	GTR	No recur	Alive	16	Negative	Exon 6 c.313C>T, p.(R105*)	Exon 10c.831delA, p.(Lys277Lys*1)	Not tested	Not tested

CPA, Cerebellopontine angle; CMC, Cerebellomedullary cistern; GTR, gross total resection; STR, subtotal resection; RT, radiotherapy; ND, not determined due to poor DNA quality;

*, This case was studied on the recurrent tumor because of previous operation at outside hospital. ; #, Tumors were reclassified as microcystic meningioma (tumor 8) and mixed pattern of meningotheial meningioma with focal clear cell component (tumor 9).; **, Germline mutation was identified in the blood sample

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Representative images of immunohistochemical staining of meningiomas for SMARCE1 (all at x400 magnification). A) Case 1 and B) 11 show typical loss of nuclear staining with positive staining restricted to endothelial and leukocytic nuclei plus mucoid/glycogen content of tumour cells. C) Case 8 and D) 9 show retained SMARCE1 staining.

Figure 2. A) H&E stained section for case 5-1 showing a patternless growth of clear cells and prominent perivascular and interstitial collagen, typical of clear cell meningioma (x200). B) H&E stained section for case 9 (region 1) showing a heterogeneous histology with focal clear cell meningioma features. C) H&E stained section for case 9 (region 2) indicating a meningotheelial growth pattern.



