



Technique Tips A Complicated Crown Fracture: The Cvek Pulpotomy

[Link to publication record in Manchester Research Explorer](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Dixon, C., & Barry, S. (2017). Technique Tips A Complicated Crown Fracture: The Cvek Pulpotomy. *Dental Update*, 44(11). <http://www.dental-update.co.uk/issueArticle.asp?aKey=1772>

Published in:

Dental Update

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on Manchester Research Explorer is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Proof version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Explorer are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Takedown policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please refer to the University of Manchester's Takedown Procedures [<http://man.ac.uk/04Y6Bo>] or contact uml.scholarlycommunications@manchester.ac.uk providing relevant details, so we can investigate your claim.



Technique Tips

A Complicated Crown Fracture: The Cvek Pulpotomy

The Cvek pulpotomy is a useful technique for the management of a complicated crown fracture of vital incisors with open or closed apices. It involves removing 1–3 mm of inflamed pulp, leaving the healthy vital cell-rich pulp to aid healing post trauma. If haemostasis is achieved, the tooth can be dressed and restored to normal function with good prognosis. Miomir Cvek pioneered this approach and found a 96% success rate for teeth treated up to 90 days after trauma with 1–4 mm exposures.¹

Trauma may be stressful for patient and clinician alike. Yet this is a simple, inexpensive and relatively quick way of ensuring a tooth has the best prognosis following traumatic injury. It does not involve specialist equipment and uses materials found in everyday general dental practice. This method could be used with reference to February's Technique Tip: 'Traumatized fractured incisors: re-attachment of the fragment.'²

Case report

The following case describes a 10-year-old boy with a history of complicated crown fracture to UL1 which he had sustained 48 hours previously. The fractured fragment had been stored in milk since the accident. The UL1 was unreliably negative to sensibility testing with ethyl chloride.

Clinical and radiograph examination revealed (Figures 1, 2, 3):

- No fragments in soft tissues;
- Complicated enamel dentine fracture UL1;
- Hypodontia:

8	5	1	1	5
---	---	---	---	---

- Anterior crossbite UR1 and UL1.

The Cvek pulpotomy

1. Dry buccal mucosa and apply topical anaesthetic for 1–2 mins;



Figure 1. OPG noting late mixed dentition and hypodontia.



Figure 2. Upper standard occlusal, demonstrating a mature apices and temporary GIC restoration of UL1.

2. Give local anaesthetic (buccal infiltration);
3. Isolate using split dam technique (Figure 4);
4. Remove 1–3 mm of coronal pulp tissue;
5. Irrigate wound surface with sterile saline and dry with a cotton pellet;
6. If haemostasis is achieved (Figure 5) continue to step 8. Remove more pulp until haemostasis is achieved;
7. If haemostasis is not possible, continue with a coronal pulpotomy;
8. Place non-setting calcium hydroxide paste or calcium hydroxide powder mixed with sterile water over exposure and use a sterile cotton pellet to apply pressure and adapt the medicament to the cavity (Figure 6);
9. Cover with glass ionomer;



Figure 3. Clinical presentation 48 hours after trauma; gingival laceration with sloughing noted.

10. Restore tooth/re-attach fragment using flowable composite (Figure 7).

At review two weeks later, the patient reported no pain or discomfort and was happy with the aesthetic results. The UL1 demonstrated good aesthetics and required a 3-month radiograph and clinical review with long-term multidisciplinary assessment for hypodontia and malocclusion.

Discussion

The Cvek pulpotomy can be a useful technique for patients who present up to several days post trauma. It is commonly thought that time between accident and treatment is a crucial factor for success. Cvek, however, found that the time delay from trauma to treatment was not a significant factor. Treatment was carried out between 1 hour and 1260 hours (90 days) after trauma; 96% of those treated within 72 hours survived with no pulpal necrosis. Those treated after 72 hours had a slightly lower prognosis; 87.5% survived with no pulpal necrosis. Even with a delay of treatment, teeth treated with Cvek-type pulpotomy have a good prognosis.¹

Cervical or complete pulpotomy is more extensive than the Cvek pulpotomy. It removes the coronal pulp tissue in its entirety to cervical level and places medicament on the canal orifices.³ If more than 3 mm of coronal



Figure 4. Split dam technique.



Figure 6. Placement of non-setting calcium hydroxide.




Figure 5. Haemostasis of UL1 following application of saline soaked cotton pledget.



Figure 7. Initial post-operative results with re-cementation of tooth fragment.

pulp tissue needs to be removed to control bleeding, a cervical pulpotomy is indicated and this should be done quickly post trauma to ensure the best possible prognosis for the tooth. The clinician cannot know how much pulpal tissue needs amputating until treatment is taking place.⁴ Materials other than calcium hydroxide have been reported for use for a coronal barrier such as MTA; however, this can result in discoloration around the cervical area.

Maintaining vitality of the immature tooth allows continued growth and hard tissue deposition, which strengthens dentine walls and reduces the risk of cervical fracture.⁵ It also avoids the resorption and ankyloses which can occur following pulpal necrosis in traumatized immature incisors. For these reasons, and the reported 100% success rate,⁶ the Cvek pulpotomy is indicated for immature teeth. Some argue that mature teeth, on the other hand, have a

diminished healing capacity and should be extirpated following complicated fracture.³ The belief is that once the tooth is fully formed, the pulp has less contact with the periodontium and is limited in the ability to repair itself. From a medico-legal perspective, pulpectomy should not be instigated until signs and/or symptoms are evident. Indeed, the data suggest that mature roots treated with the Cvek pulpotomy have a similar prognosis to immature teeth.

If signs of pulpal necrosis do occur later, there is always the option to extirpate at this stage. The Cvek pulpotomy is, at the very least, a conservative treatment which aims to maintain the nerve of the tooth, allowing the tooth to grow and become a fully functional life-long healthy unit. This is a useful conservative approach which should be considered even if the patient presents several days post trauma.

Summary

Benefits of the Cvek pulpotomy:

- Maintains vitality;
- Aids tooth development and apex formation;
- Avoids crown discoloration seen after coronal pulpotomy or pulpectomy;
- Avoids the need for future clinical apexification;
- Prevents infected-related resorption;
- Facilitates dentine formation: strengthening the tooth and reducing the risk of coronal fracture.

Contra-indications of the Cvek pulpotomy:

- Infection of the pulp extends past the coronal pulp;
- Inability to achieve haemostasis after coronal pulp amputation;
- Swelling (due to infection) fistula;
- Radiographic evidence of pathological periapical bone resorption
- Uncooperative patient.

References

1. Cvek M. A clinical report on partial pulpotomy and capping with calcium hydroxide in permanent incisors with complicated crown fracture. *J Endod* 1978; **4**: 232–237.
2. Lilleker R. Technique Tips – Traumatized Fractured Incisors: Re-attachment of the Fragment. *Dent Update* 2017; **44**: 164–165.
3. Fong C, Davis M. Partial pulpotomy for immature permanent teeth, its present and future. *Pediatr Dent* 2002; **24**: 29–32.
4. American Academy of Pediatric Dentistry (AAPD). *Guideline on Pulp Therapy for Primary and Immature Permanent Teeth*. (2015) (online). Available at: http://www.aapd.org/media/policies_guidelines/g_pulp.pdf (Accessed 11 April 2017).
5. Cohen S, Hargreaves K. *Pathways of the Pulp*. 1st edn. St Louis, Mo: Mosby Elsevier, 2006.
6. de Blanco L. Treatment of crown fractures with pulp exposure. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol* 1996; **82**: 564–568.

Olivia Barratt, 5th Year Student, University of Manchester, **Carly C Dixon**, BDS(Ncl), MFDS RSC(Glas) Clinical Lecturer/StR in Paediatric Dentistry, University of Manchester and **Siobhan M Barry**, BDS, NUI(Cork), MFDS RCS Dub, M Paed Dent Glasgow, DClint Dent (University of Leeds), FDS(Paed Dent), RCPS (Glasg), Senior Lecturer/Honorary Consultant in Paediatric Dentistry, University of Manchester and University Dental Hospital Manchester, Higher Cambridge Street, M14 6FH, UK.